BGP Multihoming Techniques

Philip Smith <philip@apnic.net> APRICOT 2012 21st February – 2nd March 2012 New Delhi

Presentation Slides

Available on

- http://thyme.apnic.net/ftp/seminars/ APRICOT2012-BGP-Multihoming.pdf
- And on the APRICOT2012 website

Feel free to ask questions any time

Preliminaries

Presentation has many configuration examples

Uses Cisco IOS CLI

Aimed at Service Providers

 Techniques can be used by many enterprises too

BGP Multihoming Techniques

Why Multihome?
Definition & Options
How to Multihome
Principles & Addressing
Basic Multihoming
Service Provider Multihoming
Using Communities

It's all about redundancy, diversity & reliability

Redundancy

- One connection to internet means the network is dependent on:
 - Local router (configuration, software, hardware)
 - WAN media (physical failure, carrier failure)
 - Upstream Service Provider (configuration, software, hardware)

Reliability

- Business critical applications demand continuous availability
- Lack of redundancy implies lack of reliability implies loss of revenue

Supplier Diversity

- Many businesses demand supplier diversity as a matter of course
- Internet connection from two or more suppliers
 - With two or more diverse WAN paths
 - With two or more exit points
 - With two or more international connections

Two of everything

■ Not really a reason, but oft quoted...

Leverage:

- Playing one ISP off against the other for:
 - Service Quality
 - Service Offerings
 - Availability

Summary:

- Multihoming is easy to demand as requirement for any service provider or end-site network
- But what does it really mean:
 - In real life?
 - For the network?
 - For the Internet?
- And how do we do it?

BGP Multihoming Techniques

Why Multihome?
Definition & Options
How to Multihome
Principles & Addressing
Basic Multihoming
Service Provider Multihoming
Using Communities

Multihoming: Definitions & Options

What does it mean, what do we need, and how do we do it?

Multihoming Definition

- More than one link external to the local network
 - two or more links to the same ISP
 - two or more links to different ISPs
- Usually two external facing routers
 - one router gives link and provider redundancy only

Autonomous System Number

Two ranges

- 0-65535
- 65536-4294967295
- □ Usage:
 - 0 and 65535
 - 1-64495
 - **64496-64511**
 - 64512-65534
 - 23456
 - 65536-65551
 - 65552-4294967295

(original 16-bit range) (32-bit range – RFC4893)

(reserved)

(public Internet)

- (documentation RFC5398)
 - (private use only)
 - (represent 32-bit range in 16-bit world)
 - (documentation RFC5398)
- (public Internet)
- 32-bit range representation specified in RFC5396
 - Defines "asplain" (traditional format) as standard notation

Autonomous System Number (ASN)

- ASNs are distributed by the Regional Internet Registries
 - They are also available from upstream ISPs who are members of one of the RIRs
 - Around 39700 are visible on the Internet
- Current 16-bit ASN allocations up to 59391 have been made to the RIRs
- Each RIR has also received a block of 32-bit ASNs
 - Out of 2300 assignments, around 2000 are visible on the Internet
- See www.iana.org/assignments/as-numbers

Private-AS – Application

Applications

- An ISP with customers multihomed on their backbone (RFC2270) -or-
- A corporate network with several regions but connections to the Internet only in the core

-or-

 Within a BGP Confederation



Private-AS – Removal

- Private ASNs MUST be removed from all prefixes announced to the public Internet
 - Include configuration to remove private ASNs in the eBGP template
- As with RFC1918 address space, private ASNs are intended for internal use
 - They should not be leaked to the public Internet

Cisco IOS

neighbor x.x.x.x remove-private-AS

Transit/Peering/Default

Transit

- Carrying traffic across a network
- Usually for a fee

Peering

- Exchanging locally sourced routing information and traffic
- Usually for no fee
- Sometimes called settlement free peering
- Default
 - Where to send traffic when there is no explicit match in the routing table

Configuring Policy

Three BASIC Principles for IOS configuration examples throughout presentation:

- prefix-lists to filter prefixes
- filter-lists to filter ASNs
- route-maps to apply policy

Route-maps can be used for filtering, but this is more "advanced" configuration

Policy Tools

Local preference

outbound traffic flows

Metric (MED)

inbound traffic flows (local scope)

AS-PATH prepend

inbound traffic flows (Internet scope)

Communities

specific inter-provider peering

Originating Prefixes: Assumptions

- MUST announce assigned address block to Internet
- MAY also announce subprefixes reachability is not guaranteed
- Current minimum allocation is from /20 to /24 depending on the RIR
 - Several ISPs filter RIR blocks on this boundary
 - Several ISPs filter the rest of address space according to the IANA assignments
 - This activity is called "Net Police" by some

Originating Prefixes

■ The RIRs publish their minimum allocation sizes per /8 address block

- AfriNIC: www.afrinic.net/docs/policies/afpol-v4200407-000.htm
- APNIC: www.apnic.net/db/min-alloc.html
- ARIN: www.arin.net/reference/ip_blocks.html
- LACNIC: lacnic.net/en/registro/index.html
- RIPE NCC: www.ripe.net/ripe/docs/smallest-alloc-sizes.html
- Note that AfriNIC only publishes its current minimum allocation size, not the allocation size for its address blocks
- IANA publishes the address space it has assigned to end-sites and allocated to the RIRs:
 - www.iana.org/assignments/ipv4-address-space
- Several ISPs use this published information to filter prefixes on:
 - What should be routed (from IANA)
 - The minimum allocation size from the RIRs

"Net Police" prefix list issues

- Meant to "punish" ISPs who pollute the routing table with specifics rather than announcing aggregates
- Impacts legitimate multihoming especially at the Internet's edge
- Impacts regions where domestic backbone is unavailable or costs \$\$\$ compared with international bandwidth
- Hard to maintain requires updating when RIRs start allocating from new address blocks
- Don't do it unless consequences understood and you are prepared to keep the list current
 - Consider using the Team Cymru or other reputable bogon BGP feed:
 - www.team-cymru.org/Services/Bogons/routeserver.html

BGP Multihoming Techniques

Why Multihome?
Definition & Options
How to Multihome
Principles & Addressing
Basic Multihoming
Service Provider Multihoming
Using Communities

How to Multihome

Choosing between transit and peer

Transits

- Transit provider is another autonomous system which is used to provide the local network with access to other networks
 - Might be local or regional only
 - But more usually the whole Internet
- Transit providers need to be chosen wisely:
 - Only one
 - no redundancy
 - Too many
 - more difficult to load balance
 - no economy of scale (costs more per Mbps)
 - hard to provide service quality

Recommendation: at least two, no more than three

Common Mistakes

■ ISPs sign up with too many transit providers

- Lots of small circuits (cost more per Mbps than larger ones)
- Transit rates per Mbps reduce with increasing transit bandwidth purchased
- Hard to implement reliable traffic engineering that doesn't need daily fine tuning depending on customer activities

No diversity

- Chosen transit providers all reached over same satellite or same submarine cable
- Chosen transit providers have poor onward transit and peering

Peers

- A peer is another autonomous system with which the local network has agreed to exchange locally sourced routes and traffic
- Private peer
 - Private link between two providers for the purpose of interconnecting
- Public peer
 - Internet Exchange Point, where providers meet and freely decide who they will interconnect with

Recommendation: peer as much as possible!

Common Mistakes

- Mistaking a transit provider's "Exchange" business for a no-cost public peering point
- Not working hard to get as much peering as possible
 - Physically near a peering point (IXP) but not present at it
 - (Transit sometimes is cheaper than peering!!)
- Ignoring/avoiding competitors because they are competition
 - Even though potentially valuable peering partner to give customers a better experience

Multihoming Scenarios

Stub network
Multi-homed stub network
Multi-homed network
Multiple sessions to another AS

Stub Network



- No need for BGP
- Point static default to upstream ISP
- Upstream ISP advertises stub network
- Policy confined within upstream ISP's policy

Multi-homed Stub Network



Use BGP (not IGP or static) to loadshare
 Use private AS (ASN > 64511)
 Upstream ISP advertises stub network
 Policy confined within upstream ISP's policy

Multi-homed Network



Many situations possible

- multiple sessions to same ISP
- secondary for backup only
- Ioad-share between primary and secondary
- selectively use different ISPs

Multiple Sessions to an AS – ebgp multihop

- Use ebgp-multihop
 - Run eBGP between loopback addresses
 - eBGP prefixes learned with loopback address as next hop
- Cisco IOS

```
router bgp 100
neighbor 1.1.1.1 remote-as 200
neighbor 1.1.1.1 ebgp-multihop 2
!
ip route 1.1.1.1 255.255.255.255 serial 1/0
ip route 1.1.1.1 255.255.255.255 serial 1/1
ip route 1.1.1.1 255.255.255.255 serial 1/2
```

 Common error made is to point remote loopback route at IP address rather than specific link



Multiple Sessions to an AS – ebgp multihop

- One serious eBGP-multihop caveat:
 - R1 and R3 are eBGP peers that are loopback peering
 - Configured with: neighbor x.x.x.x ebgp-multihop 2
 - If the R1 to R3 link goes down the session could establish via R2
- Usually happens when routing to remote loopback is dynamic, rather than static pointing at a link



Multiple Sessions to an ISP – ebgp multihop

Try and avoid use of ebgp-multihop unless:

- It's absolutely necessary -or-
- Loadsharing across multiple links
- Many ISPs discourage its use, for example:

We will run eBGP multihop, but do not support it as a standard offering because customers generally have a hard time managing it due to:

- routing loops
- failure to realise that BGP session stability problems are usually due connectivity problems between their CPE and their BGP speaker
Multiple Sessions to an AS – bgp multi path



Multiple Sessions to an AS – bgp attributes & filters

- Simplest scheme is to use defaults
- Learn/advertise prefixes for better control
- Planning and some work required to achieve loadsharing
 - Point default towards one ISP
 - Learn selected prefixes from second ISP
 - Modify the number of prefixes learnt to achieve acceptable load sharing
- No magic solution



BGP Multihoming Techniques

Why Multihome?
Definition & Options
How to Multihome
Principles & Addressing
Basic Multihoming
Service Provider Multihoming
Using Communities

Basic Principles of Multihoming

Let's learn to walk before we try running...

The Basic Principles

Announcing address space attracts traffic

- (Unless policy in upstream providers interferes)
- Announcing the ISP aggregate out a link will result in traffic for that aggregate coming in that link
- Announcing a subprefix of an aggregate out a link means that all traffic for that subprefix will come in that link, even if the aggregate is announced somewhere else

The most specific announcement wins!

The Basic Principles

■ To split traffic between two links:

- Announce the aggregate on both links ensures redundancy
- Announce one half of the address space on each link
- (This is the first step, all things being equal)
- Results in:
 - Traffic for first half of address space comes in first link
 - Traffic for second half of address space comes in second link
 - If either link fails, the fact that the aggregate is announced ensures there is a backup path

The Basic Principles

The keys to successful multihoming configuration:

- Keeping traffic engineering prefix announcements independent of customer iBGP
- Understanding how to announce aggregates
- Understanding the purpose of announcing subprefixes of aggregates
- Understanding how to manipulate BGP attributes
- Too many upstreams/external paths makes multihoming harder (2 or 3 is enough!)

IP Addressing & Multihoming

How Good IP Address Plans assist with Multihoming

IP Addressing & Multihoming

- IP Address planning is an important part of Multihoming
- Previously have discussed separating:
 - Customer address space
 - Customer p-t-p link address space
 - Infrastructure p-t-p link address space
 - Loopback address space

101.10.0/21



IP Addressing & Multihoming

- ISP Router loopbacks and backbone point to point links make up a small part of total address space
 - And they don't attract traffic, unlike customer address space
- Links from ISP Aggregation edge to customer router needs one /30
 - Small requirements compared with total address space
 - Some ISPs use IP unnumbered
- Planning customer assignments is a very important part of multihoming
 - Traffic engineering involves subdividing aggregate into pieces until load balancing works

Unplanned IP addressing

ISP fills up customer IP addressing from one end of the range:

101.10.0.0/21

1 <mark>2</mark> 3 <mark>4</mark> 5

Customer Addresses

Customers generate traffic

- Dividing the range into two pieces will result in one /22 with all the customers, and one /22 with just the ISP infrastructure the addresses
- No loadbalancing as all traffic will come in the first /22
- Means further subdivision of the first /22 = harder work

ISP



Planned IP Addressing

- This works fine for multihoming between two upstream links (same or different providers)
- Can also subdivide address space to suit more than two upstreams
 - Follow a similar scheme for populating each portion of the address space
- Don't forget to always announce an aggregate out of each link

BGP Multihoming Techniques

Why Multihome?
 Definition & Options
 How to Multihome
 Principles & Addressing
 Basic Multihoming
 Service Provider Multihoming
 Using Communities

Let's try some simple worked examples...

No frills multihoming

Will look at two cases:

- Multihoming with the same ISP
- Multihoming to different ISPs
- Will keep the examples easy
 - Understanding easy concepts will make the more complex scenarios easier to comprehend
 - All assume that the site multihoming has a /19 address block

This type is most commonplace at the edge of the Internet

- Networks here are usually concerned with inbound traffic flows
- Outbound traffic flows being "nearest exit" is usually sufficient
- Can apply to the leaf ISP as well as Enterprise networks

Multihoming to the Same ISP

Basic Multihoming: Multihoming to the same ISP

Use BGP for this type of multihoming

- use a private AS (ASN > 64511)
- There is no need or justification for a public ASN
 - Making the nets of the end-site visible gives no useful information to the Internet
- Upstream ISP proxy aggregates
 - in other words, announces only your address block to the Internet from their AS (as would be done if you had one statically routed connection)

Two links to the same ISP

One link primary, the other link backup only

Applies when end-site has bought a large primary WAN link to their upstream a small secondary WAN link as the backup

 For example, primary path might be an E1, backup might be 64kbps



AS100 removes private AS and any customer subprefixes from Internet announcement

Announce /19 aggregate on each link

- primary link:
 - Outbound announce /19 unaltered
 - Inbound receive default route
- backup link:
 - Outbound announce /19 with increased metric
 - Inbound received default, and reduce local preference
- When one link fails, the announcement of the /19 aggregate via the other link ensures continued connectivity

```
Router A Configuration
   router bgp 65534
   network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
   neighbor 122.102.10.2 remote-as 100
   neighbor 122.102.10.2 description RouterC
   neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list aggregate out
   neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list default in
   ip prefix-list aggregate permit 121.10.0.0/19
   ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
   I
   ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

Router B Configuration router bgp 65534 network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0 neighbor 122.102.10.6 remote-as 100 neighbor 122.102.10.6 description RouterD neighbor 122.102.10.6 prefix-list aggregate out neighbor 122.102.10.6 route-map routerD-out out neighbor 122.102.10.6 prefix-list default in neighbor 122.102.10.6 route-map routerD-in in 1

..next slide

I

```
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
!
route-map routerD-out permit 10
set metric 10
!
route-map routerD-in permit 10
set local-preference 90
```

Router C Configuration (main link) router bgp 100 neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 65534 neighbor 122.102.10.1 default-originate neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list Customer in neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default out ! ip prefix-list Customer permit 121.10.0.0/19 ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0

Router D Configuration (backup link) router bgp 100 neighbor 122.102.10.5 remote-as 65534 neighbor 122.102.10.5 default-originate neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list Customer in neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list default out ! ip prefix-list Customer permit 121.10.0.0/19 ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0

Router E Configuration

router bgp 100
neighbor 122.102.10.17 remote-as 110
neighbor 122.102.10.17 remove-private-AS
neighbor 122.102.10.17 prefix-list Customer out
!

ip prefix-list Customer permit 121.10.0.0/19

Router E removes the private AS and customer's subprefixes from external announcements
 Private AS still visible inside AS100

Two links to the same ISP

With Loadsharing

Loadsharing to the same ISP

More common case

- End sites tend not to buy circuits and leave them idle, only used for backup as in previous example
- This example assumes equal capacity circuits
 - Unequal capacity circuits requires more refinement – see later

Loadsharing to the same ISP



Border router E in AS100 removes private AS and any customer subprefixes from Internet announcement

Loadsharing to the same ISP

- Announce /19 aggregate on each link
- Split /19 and announce as two /20s, one on each link
 - basic inbound loadsharing
 - assumes equal circuit capacity and even spread of traffic across address block
- Vary the split until "perfect" loadsharing achieved
- Accept the default from upstream
 - basic outbound loadsharing by nearest exit
 - okay in first approx as most ISP and end-site traffic is inbound

Loadsharing to the same ISP (with redundancy)

```
Router A Configuration
   router bgp 65534
    network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
    network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.240.0
   neighbor 122.102.10.2 remote-as 100
    neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list routerC out
   neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list default in
   ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
   ip prefix-list routerC permit 121.10.0.0/20
   ip prefix-list routerC permit 121.10.0.0/19
   1
   ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.240.0 null0
   ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

Loadsharing to the same ISP (with redundancy)

```
Router C Configuration
router bgp 100
neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 65534
neighbor 122.102.10.1 default-originate
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list Customer in
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default out
!
```

ip prefix-list Customer permit 121.10.0.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0

- Router C only allows in /19 and /20 prefixes from customer block
- Router D configuration is identical

Loadsharing to the same ISP (with redundancy)

Router E Configuration router bgp 100 neighbor 122.102.10.17 remote-as 110 neighbor 122.102.10.17 remove-private-AS neighbor 122.102.10.17 prefix-list Customer out ! ip prefix-list Customer permit 121.10.0.0/19

Private AS still visible inside AS100
Loadsharing to the same ISP (with redundancy)

Default route for outbound traffic?

- Use default-information originate for the IGP and rely on IGP metrics for nearest exit
- e.g. on router A:

```
router ospf 65534
default-information originate metric 2 metric-type 1
```

Loadsharing to the same ISP

Loadsharing configuration is only on customer router

Upstream ISP has to

- remove customer subprefixes from external announcements
- remove private AS from external announcements
- Could also use BGP communities

Two links to the same ISP

- Unusual for an ISP just to have one dualhomed customer
 - Valid/valuable service offering for an ISP with multiple PoPs
 - Better for ISP than having customer multihome with another provider!
- Look at scaling the configuration
 - $\blacksquare \Rightarrow$ Simplifying the configuration
 - Using templates, peer-groups, etc
 - Every customer has the same configuration (basically)



- Customer announcements as per previous example
- Use the same private AS for each customer
 - documented in RFC2270
 - address space is not overlapping
 - each customer hears default only
- Router An and Bn configuration same as Router A and B previously

```
Router A1 Configuration
   router bgp 65534
   network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
   network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.240.0
   neighbor 122.102.10.2 remote-as 100
   neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list routerC out
   neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list default in
   ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
   ip prefix-list routerC permit 121.10.0.0/20
   ip prefix-list routerC permit 121.10.0.0/19
   I
   ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.240.0 null0
   ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

Router C Configuration router bgp 100 neighbor bgp-customers peer-group neighbor bgp-customers remote-as 65534 neighbor bgp-customers default-originate neighbor bgp-customers prefix-list default out neighbor 122.102.10.1 peer-group bgp-customers neighbor 122.102.10.1 description Customer One neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list Customer1 in neighbor 122.102.10.9 peer-group bgp-customers neighbor 122.102.10.9 description Customer Two neighbor 122.102.10.9 prefix-list Customer2 in

neighbor 122.102.10.17 peer-group bgp-customers neighbor 122.102.10.17 description Customer Three neighbor 122.102.10.17 prefix-list Customer3 in ! ip prefix-list Customer1 permit 121.10.0.0/19 le 20 ip prefix-list Customer2 permit 121.16.64.0/19 le 20 ip prefix-list Customer3 permit 121.14.192.0/19 le 20 ip prefix-list Customer3 permit 121.14.192.0/19 le 20

Router C only allows in /19 and /20 prefixes from customer block

Router E Configuration

 assumes customer address space is not part of upstream's address block

```
router bgp 100
neighbor 122.102.10.17 remote-as 110
neighbor 122.102.10.17 remove-private-AS
neighbor 122.102.10.17 prefix-list Customers out
!
ip prefix-list Customers permit 121.10.0.0/19
```

```
ip prefix-list Customers permit 121.16.64.0/19
```

```
ip prefix-list Customers permit 121.14.192.0/19
```

Private AS still visible inside AS100

- If customers' prefixes come from ISP's address block
 - do NOT announce them to the Internet
 - announce ISP aggregate only
- Router E configuration:

```
router bgp 100
neighbor 122.102.10.17 remote-as 110
neighbor 122.102.10.17 prefix-list my-aggregate out
!
ip prefix-list my-aggregate permit 121.8.0.0/13
```

Multihoming Summary

- Use private AS for multihoming to the same upstream
- Leak subprefixes to upstream only to aid loadsharing
- Upstream router E configuration is identical across all situations

Basic Multihoming

Multihoming to different ISPs

Two links to different ISPs

Use a Public AS

- Or use private AS if agreed with the other ISP
- But some people don't like the "inconsistent-AS" which results from use of a private-AS

Address space comes from

- both upstreams or
- Regional Internet Registry
- Configuration concepts very similar

Inconsistent-AS?

- Viewing the prefixes originated by AS65534 in the Internet shows they appear to be originated by both AS210 and AS200
 - This is NOT bad
 - Nor is it illegal
- IOS command is

show ip bgp inconsistent-as



Two links to different ISPs

One link primary, the other link backup only



Announce /19 aggregate on each link

- primary link makes standard announcement
- backup link lengthens the AS PATH by using AS PATH prepend
- When one link fails, the announcement of the /19 aggregate via the other link ensures continued connectivity

```
Router A Configuration
   router bgp 130
    network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
    neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 100
    neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list aggregate out
   neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default in
   ip prefix-list aggregate permit 121.10.0.0/19
   ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
   ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

```
Router B Configuration
   router bgp 130
    network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
    neighbor 120.1.5.1 remote-as 120
    neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list aggregate out
    neighbor 120.1.5.1 route-map routerD-out out
    neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list default in
    neighbor 120.1.5.1 route-map routerD-in in
   ip prefix-list aggregate permit 121.10.0.0/19
   ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
   route-map routerD-out permit 10
    set as-path prepend 130 130 130
   route-map routerD-in permit 10
    set local-preference 80
```

- Not a common situation as most sites tend to prefer using whatever capacity they have
 - (Useful when two competing ISPs agree to provide mutual backup to each other)
- But it shows the basic concepts of using local-prefs and AS-path prepends for engineering traffic in the chosen direction

Two links to different ISPs

With Loadsharing



Announce /19 aggregate on each link

- Split /19 and announce as two /20s, one on each link
 - <u>basic</u> inbound loadsharing
- When one link fails, the announcement of the /19 aggregate via the other ISP ensures continued connectivity

```
Router A Configuration
   router bgp 130
   network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
   network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.240.0
   neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 100
   neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list firstblock out
   neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default in
   ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
   I
   ip prefix-list firstblock permit 121.10.0.0/20
   ip prefix-list firstblock permit 121.10.0.0/19
```

```
Router B Configuration
   router bgp 130
   network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
   network 121.10.16.0 mask 255.255.240.0
   neighbor 120.1.5.1 remote-as 120
   neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list secondblock out
   neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list default in
   ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
   I
   ip prefix-list secondblock permit 121.10.16.0/20
   ip prefix-list secondblock permit 121.10.0.0/19
```

Loadsharing in this case is very basic

- But shows the first steps in designing a load sharing solution
 - Start with a simple concept
 - And build on it...!

Two links to different ISPs

More Controlled Loadsharing



Announce /19 aggregate on each link

- On first link, announce /19 as normal
- On second link, announce /19 with longer AS PATH, and announce one /20 subprefix

 controls loadsharing between upstreams and the Internet

 Vary the subprefix size and AS PATH length until "perfect" loadsharing achieved
 Still require redundancy!

```
Router A Configuration
   router bgp 130
    network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
    neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 100
    neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default in
    neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list aggregate out
   ip prefix-list aggregate permit 121.10.0.0/19
   ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
   ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

```
Router B Configuration
   router bgp 130
    network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
    network 121.10.16.0 mask 255.255.240.0
    neighbor 120.1.5.1 remote-as 120
    neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list default in
    neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list subblocks out
    neighbor 120.1.5.1 route-map routerD out
   route-map routerD permit 10
    match ip address prefix-list aggregate
    set as-path prepend 130 130
   route-map routerD permit 20
   ip prefix-list subblocks permit 121.10.0.0/19 le 20_{104}
   ip prefix-list aggregate permit 121.10.0.0/19
```

This example is more commonplace

- Shows how ISPs and end-sites subdivide address space frugally, as well as use the AS-PATH prepend concept to optimise the load sharing between different ISPs
- Notice that the /19 aggregate block is ALWAYS announced

BGP Multihoming Techniques

Why Multihome?
Definition & Options
How to Multihome
Principles & Addressing
Basic Multihoming
"BGP Traffic Engineering"
Using Communities

Service Provider Multihoming

BGP Traffic Engineering

Service Provider Multihoming

Previous examples dealt with loadsharing inbound traffic

- Of primary concern at Internet edge
- What about outbound traffic?
- Transit ISPs strive to balance traffic flows in both directions
 - Balance link utilisation
 - Try and keep most traffic flows symmetric
 - Some edge ISPs try and do this too

□ The original "Traffic Engineering"
Service Provider Multihoming

Balancing outbound traffic requires inbound routing information

- Common solution is "full routing table"
- Rarely necessary
 - Why use the "routing mallet" to try solve loadsharing problems?
- "Keep It Simple" is often easier (and \$\$\$ cheaper) than carrying N-copies of the full routing table

Service Provider Multihoming MYTHS!!

Common MYTHS

- 1. You need the full routing table to multihome
 - People who sell router memory would like you to believe this
 - Only true if you are a transit provider
 - Full routing table can be a significant hindrance to multihoming
- 2. You need a BIG router to multihome
 - Router size is related to data rates, not running BGP
 - In reality, to multihome, your router needs to:
 - Have two interfaces,
 - Be able to talk BGP to at least two peers,
 - Be able to handle BGP attributes,
 - Handle at least one prefix
- 3. BGP is complex
 - In the wrong hands, yes it can be! Keep it Simple!

Service Provider Multihoming: Some Strategies

- Take the prefixes you need to aid traffic engineering
 - Look at NetFlow data for popular sites
- Prefixes originated by your immediate neighbours and their neighbours will do more to aid load balancing than prefixes from ASNs many hops away
 - Concentrate on local destinations
- Use default routing as much as possible
 - Or use the full routing table with care

Service Provider Multihoming

Examples

- One upstream, one local peer
- One upstream, local exchange point
- Two upstreams, one local peer
- Three upstreams, unequal link bandwidths
- Require BGP and a public ASN
- Examples assume that the local network has their own /19 address block

Service Provider Multihoming

One upstream, one local peer

- Very common situation in many regions of the Internet
- Connect to upstream transit provider to see the "Internet"
- Connect to the local competition so that local traffic stays local
 - Saves spending valuable \$ on upstream transit costs for local traffic



Announce /19 aggregate on each link

Accept default route only from upstream

- Either 0.0.0.0/0 or a network which can be used as default
- Accept all routes from local peer

```
Router A Configuration
                                           Prefix filters
                                           inbound
   router bgp 110
    network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
    neighbor 122.102.10.2 remote-as 120
    neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list my-block out
    neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list AS120-peer in
   ip prefix-list AS120-peer permit 122.5.16.0/19
   ip prefix-list AS120-peer permit 121.240.0.0/20
   ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
   ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0 250
```

```
Router A – Alternative Configuration
   router bgp 110
   network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0 AS Path filters -
                                           more "trusting"
    neighbor 122.102.10.2 remote-as 120
    neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list my-block/out
    neighbor 122.102.10.2 filter-list 10 in
   ip as-path access-list 10 permit ^(120)+$
   ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
   ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

```
Router C Configuration
   router bgp 110
   network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
   neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 130
   neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default in
   neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list my-block out
   ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
   ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
   ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

Two configurations possible for Router A

- Filter-lists assume peer knows what they are doing
- Prefix-list higher maintenance, but safer
- Some ISPs use both
- Local traffic goes to and from local peer, everything else goes to upstream

Aside: Configuration Recommendations

Private Peers

- The peering ISPs exchange prefixes they originate
- Sometimes they exchange prefixes from neighbouring ASNs too
- Be aware that the private peer eBGP router should carry only the prefixes you want the private peer to receive
 - Otherwise they could point a default route to you and unintentionally transit your backbone

Service Provider Multihoming

- Very common situation in many regions of the Internet
- Connect to upstream transit provider to see the "Internet"
- Connect to the local Internet Exchange Point so that local traffic stays local
 - Saves spending valuable \$ on upstream transit costs for local traffic



- Announce /19 aggregate to every neighbouring AS
- Accept default route only from upstream
 - Either 0.0.0/0 or a network which can be used as default
- Accept all routes originated by IXP peers

```
Router A Configuration
   interface fastethernet 0/0
   description Exchange Point LAN
    ip address 120.5.10.1 mask 255.255.255.224
   I
   router bgp 110
    neighbor ixp-peers peer-group
    neighbor ixp-peers prefix-list my-block out
    neighbor ixp-peers remove-private-AS
    neighbor ixp-peers send-community
    neighbor ixp-peers route-map set-local-pref in
   ...next slide
```

neighbor 120.5.10.2 remote-as 100 neighbor 120.5.10.2 peer-group ixp-peers neighbor 120.5.10.2 prefix-list peer100 in neighbor 120.5.10.3 remote-as 101 neighbor 120.5.10.3 peer-group ixp-peers neighbor 120.5.10.3 prefix-list peer101 in neighbor 120.5.10.4 remote-as 102 neighbor 120.5.10.4 peer-group ixp-peers neighbor 120.5.10.4 prefix-list peer102 in neighbor 120.5.10.5 remote-as 103 neighbor 120.5.10.5 peer-group ixp-peers neighbor 120.5.10.5 prefix-list peer103 in ...next slide

```
ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list peer100 permit 122.0.0.0/19
ip prefix-list peer101 permit 122.30.0.0/19
ip prefix-list peer102 permit 122.12.0.0/19
ip prefix-list peer103 permit 122.18.128.0/19
!
route-map set-local-pref permit 10
set local-preference 150
```

I

- Note that Router A does not generate the aggregate for AS110
 - If Router A becomes disconnected from backbone, then the aggregate is no longer announced to the IX
 - BGP failover works as expected
- Note the inbound route-map which sets the local preference higher than the default
 - This ensures that BGP Best Path for local traffic will be across the IXP

```
Router C Configuration
   router bgp 110
   network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
   neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 130
   neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default in
   neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list my-block out
   ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
   ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
   ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

Note Router A configuration

- Prefix-list higher maintenance, but safer
- uRPF on the IX facing interface
- No generation of AS110 aggregate
- IXP traffic goes to and from local IXP, everything else goes to upstream

IXP Configuration Recommendations

- IXP peers
 - The peering ISPs at the IXP exchange prefixes they originate
 - Sometimes they exchange prefixes from neighbouring ASNs too
- Be aware that the IXP border router should carry only the prefixes you want the IXP peers to receive and the destinations you want them to be able to reach
 - Otherwise they could point a default route to you and unintentionally transit your backbone
- If IXP router is at IX, and distant from your backbone
 - Don't originate your address block at your IXP router

Service Provider Multihoming

Connect to both upstream transit providers to see the "Internet"

- Provides external redundancy and diversity the reason to multihome
- Connect to the local peer so that local traffic stays local
 - Saves spending valuable \$ on upstream transit costs for local traffic



Announce /19 aggregate on each link

Accept default route only from upstreams

Either 0.0.0.0/0 or a network which can be used as default

Accept all routes from local peer

- Note separation of Router C and D
 - Single edge router means no redundancy

Router A

Same routing configuration as in example with one upstream and one local peer

```
Router C Configuration
   router bgp 110
   network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
   neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 130
   neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default in
   neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list my-block out
   ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
   ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
   ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

```
Router D Configuration
   router bgp 110
   network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
   neighbor 122.102.10.5 remote-as 140
   neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list default in
   neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list my-block out
   ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
   ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
   ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

- This is the simple configuration for Router C and D
- Traffic out to the two upstreams will take nearest exit
 - Inexpensive routers required
 - This is not useful in practice especially for international links
 - Loadsharing needs to be better

Better configuration options:

- Accept full routing from both upstreams
 Expensive & unnecessary!
- Accept default from one upstream and some routes from the other upstream
 The way to go!

```
Allow all prefixes in
Router C Configuration
                                         apart from RFC1918
                                         and friends
   router bgp 110
    network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
    neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 130
    neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list rfc1918-deny in
    neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list my-block out
    neighbor 122.102.10.1 route-map AS130-loadshare in
   ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
   ! See www.cymru.com/Documents/bogon-list.html
   ! ... for "RFC1918 and friends" list
   ...next slide
```

```
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
ip as-path access-list 10 permit ^(130)+$
ip as-path access-list 10 permit ^(130)+ [0-9]+$
ļ
route-map AS130-loadshare permit 10
match ip as-path 10
set local-preference 120
route-map AS130-loadshare permit 20
 set local-preference 80
I
```

```
Router D Configuration
router bgp 110
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 122.102.10.5 remote-as 140
neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list rfc1918-deny in
neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list my-block out
!
ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
! See www.cymru.com/Documents/bogon-list.html
! ...for "RFC1918 and friends" list
```

Router C configuration:

- Accept full routes from AS130
- Tag prefixes originated by AS130 and AS130's neighbouring ASes with local preference 120
 Traffic to those ASes will go over AS130 link
- Remaining prefixes tagged with local preference of 80
 - Traffic to other all other ASes will go over the link to AS140
- Router D configuration same as Router C without the route-map
Two Upstreams, One Local Peer Full Routes

Full routes from upstreams

- Expensive needs lots of memory and CPU
- Need to play preference games
- Previous example is only an example real life will need improved fine-tuning!
- Previous example doesn't consider inbound traffic – see earlier in presentation for examples

Two Upstreams, One Local Peer Partial Routes: Strategy

Ask one upstream for a default route

 Easy to originate default towards a BGP neighbour

Ask other upstream for a full routing table

- Then filter this routing table based on neighbouring ASN
- E.g. want traffic to their neighbours to go over the link to that ASN
- Most of what upstream sends is thrown away
- Easier than asking the upstream to set up custom BGP filters for you



```
ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
ip as-path access-list 10 permit ^(130)+$
ip as-path access-list 10 permit ^(130 )+ [0-9]+$
route-map tag-default-low permit 10
match ip address prefix-list default
set local-preference 80
route-map tag-default-low permit 20
I
```

```
Router D Configuration
```

```
router bgp 110
network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 122.102.10.5 remote-as 140
neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list default in
neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list my-block out
!
ip prefix-list my-block permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
```

ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0

Router C configuration:

- Accept full routes from AS130
 or get them to send less)
- Filter ASNs so only AS130 and AS130's neighbouring ASes are accepted
- Allow default, and set it to local preference 80
- Traffic to those ASes will go over AS130 link
- Traffic to other all other ASes will go over the link to AS140
- If AS140 link fails, backup via AS130 and vice-versa

```
Router C IGP Configuration
   router ospf 110
  default-information originate metric 30
  passive-interface Serial 0/0
   ip route 0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0 serial 0/0 254
Router D IGP Configuration
   router ospf 110
  default-information originate metric 10
  passive-interface Serial 0/0
   ip route 0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0 serial 0/0 254
```

Partial routes from upstreams

- Use OSPF to determine outbound path
- Router D default has metric 10 primary outbound path
- Router C default has metric 30 backup outbound path
- Serial interface goes down, static default is removed from routing table, OSPF default withdrawn

Partial routes from upstreams

- Not expensive only carry the routes necessary for loadsharing
- Need to filter on AS paths
- Previous example is only an example real life will need improved fine-tuning!
- Previous example doesn't consider inbound traffic – see earlier in presentation for examples

Aside: Configuration Recommendation

- When distributing internal default by iBGP or OSPF/ISIS
 - Make sure that routers connecting to private peers or to IXPs do NOT carry the default route
 - Otherwise they could point a default route to you and unintentionally transit your backbone
 - Simple fix for Private Peer/IXP routers:

```
ip route 0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0 null0
```

Service Provider Multihoming

Three upstreams, unequal bandwidths

Three upstreams, unequal bandwidths

Autonomous System has three upstreams

- 8Mbps to ISP A
- 4Mbps to ISP B
- 2Mbps to ISP C
- What is the strategy here?
 - One option is full table from each
 - $3x 400k \text{ prefixes} \Rightarrow 1200k \text{ paths}$
 - Other option is partial table and defaults from each
 - □ How??



- Available BGP feeds from Transit providers:
 - Full table
 - Customer prefixes and default
 - Default Route
- These are the common options
 - Very rare for any provider to offer anything different

- Accept only a default route from the provider with the largest connectivity, ISP A
 - Because most of the traffic is going to use this link
- If ISP A won't provide a default:
 - Still run BGP with them, but discard all prefixes
 - Point static default route to the upstream link
 - Distribute the default in the IGP
- Request the full table from ISP B & C
 - Most of this will be thrown away
 - ("Default plus customers" is not enough)

- How to decide what to keep and what to discard from ISPs B & C?
 - Most traffic will use ISP A link so we need to find a good/useful subset

Discard prefixes transiting the global transit ISPs

- Global transit ISPs generally appear in most non-local or regional AS-PATHs
- Discard prefixes with ISP A's ASN in the path
 - Makes more sense for traffic to those destinations to go via the link to ISP A

Global Transit ISPs include:

- 209 CenturyLink
- 701 VerizonBusiness
- 1239 Sprint
- 1668 AOL TDN
- 2914 NTT America

- 3549 Global Crossing
- 3356 Level 3
 - 3561 Savvis
 - 7018 AT&T

ISP B peering Inbound AS-PATH filter

```
ip as-path access-list 1 deny 209
ip as-path access-list 1 deny 701
ip as-path access-list 1 deny 1239
ip as-path access-list 1 deny 3356
ip as-path access-list 1 deny 3549
ip as-path access-list 1 deny 3561
ip as-path access-list 1 deny 2914
ip as-path access-list 1 deny 7018
                                       Don't need ISPA and
ip as-path access-list 1 deny _ISPA_ 🛩 ISPC prefixes via ISPB
ip as-path access-list 1 deny ISPC
ip as-path access-list 1 permit ISPB$
ip as-path access-list 1 permit ISPB [0-9]+$
ip as-path access-list 1 permit ISPB [0-9]+ [0-9]+$
ip as-path access-list 1 permit ISPB [0-9]+ [0-9]+ [0-9]+$
ip as-path access-list 1 deny .*
                                                        162
```

Outbound load-balancing strategy: ISP B peering configuration

Part 1: Dropping Global Transit ISP prefixes

- This can be fine-tuned if traffic volume is not sufficient
- (More prefixes in = more traffic out)
- Part 2: Dropping prefixes transiting ISP A & C network
- Part 3: Permitting prefixes from ISP B, their BGP neighbours, and their neighbours, and their neighbours
 - More AS_PATH permit clauses, the more prefixes allowed in, the more egress traffic
 - Too many prefixes in will mean more outbound traffic than the link to ISP B can handle

- Similar AS-PATH filter can be built for the ISP C BGP peering
- If the same prefixes are heard from both ISP B and C, then establish proximity of their origin ASN to ISP B or C
 - e.g. ISP B might be in Japan, with the neighbouring ASN in Europe, yet ISP C might be in Europe
 - Transit to the ASN via ISP C makes more sense in this case

- The largest outbound link should announce just the aggregate
- The other links should announce:
 - a) The aggregate with AS-PATH prepend
 - Subprefixes of the aggregate, chosen according to traffic volumes to those subprefixes, and according to the services on those subprefixes
- Example:
 - Link to ISP B could be used just for Broadband/Dial customers — so number all such customers out of one contiguous subprefix
 - Link to ISP C could be used just for commercial leased line customers — so number all such customers out of one contiguous subprefix

Router A: eBGP Configuration Example

```
router bgp 100
network 100.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote 110
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default in
neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list aggregate out
!
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 100.10.0.0/19
!
```

Router B: eBGP Configuration Example

```
router bgp 100
network 100.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 120.103.1.1 remote 120
neighbor 120.103.1.1 filter-list 1 in
neighbor 120.103.1.1 prefix-list ISP-B out
neighbor 120.103.1.1 route-map to-ISP-B out
neighbor 121.105.2.1 remote 130
neighbor 121.105.2.1 filter-list 2 in
neighbor 121.105.2.1 prefix-list ISP-C out
neighbor 121.105.2.1 route-map to-ISP-C out
I
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 100.10.0.0/19
...next slide
```

Router B: eBGP Configuration Example

```
ip prefix-list ISP-B permit 100.10.0.0/19
                                               /21 to ISP B
ip prefix-list ISP-B permit 100.10.0.0/21
                                                "dial customers"
ip prefix-list ISP-C permit 100.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list ISP-C permit 100.10.28.0/22
                                                /22 to ISP C
                                                "biz customers"
route-map to-ISP-B permit 10
match ip address prefix-list aggregate
                                                e.g. Single
 set as-path prepend 100
                                                prepend on ISP B
                                                link
route-map to-ISP-B permit 20
route-map to-ISP-C permit 10
                                                e.g. Dual prepend
match ip address prefix-list aggregate
                                                on ISP C link
 set as-path prepend 100 100
                                                         168
route-map to-ISP-C permit 20
```

What about outbound backup?

We have:

- Default route from ISP A by eBGP
- Mostly discarded full table from ISPs B&C

□ Strategy:

- Originate default route by OSPF on Router A (with metric 10) — link to ISP A
- Originate default route by OSPF on Router B (with metric 30) — links to ISPs B & C
- Plus on Router B:
 - Static default route to ISP B with distance 240
 - Static default route to ISP C with distance 245
- When link goes down, static route is withdrawn

Outbound backup: steady state

Steady state (all links up and active):

- Default route is to Router A OSPF metric 10
- (Because default learned by eBGP ⇒ default is in RIB ⇒ OSPF will originate default)
- Backup default is to Router B OSPF metric
 20
- eBGP prefixes learned from upstreams distributed by iBGP throughout backbone
- (Default can be filtered in iBGP to avoid "RIB failure error")

Outbound backup: failure examples

□ Link to ISP A down, to ISPs B&C up:

- Default route is to Router B OSPF metric 20
- (eBGP default gone from RIB, so OSPF on Router A withdraws the default)
- Above is true if link to B or C is down as well
- Link to ISPs B & C down, link to ISP A is up:
 - Default route is to Router A OSPF metric 10
 - (static defaults on Router B removed from RIB, so OSPF on Router B withdraws the default)

Other considerations

- Default route should not be propagated to devices terminating non-transit peers and customers
- No need to carry default in iBGP
 - Filter out default in iBGP mesh peerings
- Still carry other eBGP prefixes across iBGP mesh
 - Otherwise routers will follow default route rules resulting in suboptimal traffic flow
 - Not a big issue because not carrying full table

Router A: iBGP Configuration Example

```
router bgp 100
network 100.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor ibgp-peers peer-group
neighbor ibgp-peers remote-as 100
neighbor ibgp-peers prefix-list ibgp-filter out
neighbor 100.10.0.2 peer-group ibgp-peers
neighbor 100.10.0.3 peer-group ibgp-peers
!
ip prefix-list ibgp-filter deny 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list ibgp-filter permit 0.0.0.0/0 le 32
```

Three upstreams, unequal bandwidths: Summary

- Example based on many deployed working multihoming/loadbalancing topologies
- Many variations possible this one is:
 - Easy to tune
 - Light on border router resources
 - Light on backbone router infrastructure
 - Sparse BGP table ⇒ faster convergence

BGP Multihoming Techniques

Why Multihome?
Definition & Options
How to Multihome
Principles & Addressing
Basic Multihoming
"BGP Traffic Engineering"
Using Communities

Using Communities for BGP Traffic Engineering

How they are used in practice for multihoming

Multihoming and Communities

- The BGP community attribute is a very powerful tool for assisting and scaling BGP Multihoming
- Most major ISPs make extensive use of BGP communities:
 - Internal policies
 - Inter-provider relationships (MED replacement)
 - Customer traffic engineering

Using BGP Communities

■ Four scenarios are covered:

- Use of RFC1998 traffic engineering
- Extending RFC 1998 ideas for even greater customer policy options
- Community use in ISP backbones
- Customer Policy Control (aka traffic engineering)

RFC1998

Informational RFC

- Describes how to implement loadsharing and backup on multiple inter-AS links
 - BGP communities used to determine local preference in upstream's network

Gives control to the customer

- Means the customer does not have to phone upstream's technical support to adjust traffic engineering needs
- Simplifies upstream's configuration
 - simplifies network operation!

RFC1998

- RFC1998 Community values are defined to have particular meanings
- □ ASX:100 set local preference 100
 - Make this the preferred path
- □ ASX :90 set local preference 90
 - Make this the backup if dualhomed on ASx
- □ ASX :80 set local preference 80
 - The main link is to another ISP with same AS path length
- ASx :70 set local preference 70
 - The main link is to another ISP
- Upstream ISP defines the communities mentioned
- Their customers then attach the communities they want to use to the prefix announcements they are making
- For example:
 - If upstream is AS 100
 - To declare a particular path as a backup path, their customer would announce the prefix with community 100:70 to AS100
 - AS100 would receive the prefix with the community 100:70 tag, and then set local preference to be 70

```
Sample Customer Router Configuration
   router bgp 130
    neighbor x.x.x.x remote-as 100
   neighbor x.x.x.x description Backup ISP
   neighbor x.x.x.x route-map as100-out out
   neighbor x.x.x.x send-community
   ip as-path access-list 20 permit ^$
   I
   route-map as100-out permit 10
   match as-path 20
    set community 100:70
```

```
Sample ISP Router Configuration
   router bgp 100
   neighbor y.y.y.y remote-as 130
   neighbor y.y.y.y route-map customer-policy-in in
   ļ
   ! Homed to another ISP
   ip community-list 7 permit 100:70
   ! Homed to another ISP with equal ASPATH length
   ip community-list 8 permit 100:80
   ! Customer backup routes
   ip community-list 9 permit 100:90
```

```
route-map customer-policy-in permit 10
match community 7
set local-preference 70
route-map customer-policy-in permit 20
match community 8
set local-preference 80
route-map customer-policy-in permit 30
match community 9
set local-preference 90
route-map customer-policy-in permit 40
set local-preference 100
```

- RFC1998 was the inspiration for a large variety of differing community policies implemented by ISPs worldwide
- There are no "standard communities" for what ISPs do
- But best practices today consider that ISPs should use BGP communities extensively for multihoming support of traffic engineering
- Look in the ISP AS Object in the IRR for documented community support

Service Provider use of Communities

RFC1998 was so inspiring...

Background

- RFC1998 is okay for "simple" multihoming situations
- ISPs create backbone support for many other communities to handle more complex situations
 - Simplify ISP BGP configuration
 - Give customer more policy control

ISP BGP Communities

■ There are no recommended ISP BGP communities apart from

- RFC1998
- The five standard communities
 - www.iana.org/assignments/bgp-well-known-communities
- Efforts have been made to document from time to time
 - totem.info.ucl.ac.be/publications/papers-elec-versions/draftquoitin-bgp-comm-survey-00.pdf
 - But so far... nothing more... 😕
 - Collection of ISP communities at www.onesc.net/communities
 - www.nanog.org/meetings/nanog40/presentations/ BGPcommunities.pdf
- ISP policy is usually published
 - On the ISP's website
 - Referenced in the AS Object in the IRR

Typical ISP BGP Communities

- □ X:80 set local preference 80
 - Backup path
- □ X:120 set local preference 120
 - Primary path (over ride BGP path selection default)
- □ X:1 set as-path prepend X
 - Single prepend when announced to X's upstreams
- □ X:2 set as-path prepend X X
 - Double prepend when announced to X's upstreams
- □ X:3 set as-path prepend X X X
 - Triple prepend when announced to X's upstreams
- □ X:666 set ip next-hop 192.0.2.1
 - Blackhole route very useful for DoS attack mitigation

Sample Router Configuration (1)

```
router bqp 100
                                          Customer BGP
neighbor y.y.y.y remote-as 130
neighbor y.y.y.y route-map customer-policy-in in
neighbor z.z.z.z remote-as 200
neighbor z.z.z.z route-map upstream-out out
                                         Upstream BGP
ip community-list 1 permit 100:1
ip community-list 2 permit 100:2
ip community-list 3 permit 100:3
ip community-list 4 permit 100:80
ip community-list 5 permit 100:120
ip community-list 6 permit 100:666
                                        Black hole route
                                        (on all routers)
ip route 192.0.2.1 255.255.255.255 null0
```

Sample Router Configuration (2)

```
route-map customer-policy-in permit 10
match community 4
set local-preference 80
route-map customer-policy-in permit 20
match community 5
set local-preference 120
route-map customer-policy-in permit 30
match community 6
set ip next-hop 192.0.2.1
route-map customer-policy-in permit 40
...etc...
```

Sample Router Configuration (3)

```
route-map upstream-out permit 10
match community 1
 set as-path prepend 100
route-map upstream-out permit 20
match community 2
set as-path prepend 100 100
1
route-map upstream-out permit 30
match community 3
 set as-path prepend 100 100 100
route-map upstream-out permit 40
....etc...
```

	+ > https://www.sprint	.net/index.php?p=policy_bgp	C Q- Google	
		lar (59)▼ Philip▼ Networking▼ Misc		
IP/MPLS Products	s from Sprint			
	WHAT YOU C	AN CONTROL		
	AS-PATH PREPEND	s		
		omers to use AS-path prepending to adjus f your change in announcments.	t route preference on the network. Such prepending will be received and passed	d on properly without
		nt will prepend AS1239 to eBGP sessions w 209, 2914, 3300, 3356, 3549, 3561, 4635, 70	ith certain autonomous systems depending on a received community. Currently, t , 7018, 702 and 8220.	the following ASes are
	String	Resulting AS Path to ASX	x	
	65000:XXX	Do not advertise to ASXXX		
	65001:XXX	1239 (default)		
	65002:XXX	1239 1239		
	65003:XXX	1239 1239 1239		
	65004:XXX	1239 1239 1239 1239		
	String	Resulting AS Path to ASX	X in Asia	
	65070:XXX	Do not advertise to ASXXX		
	65071:XXX	1239 (default)		
	65072:XXX	1239 1239		
	65073:XXX	1239 1239 1239		
	65074:XXX	1239 1239 1239 1239		
	String	Resulting AS Path to ASX	X in Europe	
	65050:XXX	Do not advertise to ASXXX		
	65051:XXX	1239 (default)		
	65052:XXX	1239 1239	More info at https://www.sprint.net	t/index.php
	65053:XXX	1239 1239 1239	p=policy_bgp	-/ - -
	65054:XXX	1239 1239 1239 1239	F F	

ISP Example: Verizon Business Europe

aut-num:	AS702	
descr:	Verizon B	usiness EMEA - Commercial IP service provider in Eur
remarks:	VzBi uses	the following communities with its customers:
	702:80	Set Local Pref 80 within AS702
	702:120	Set Local Pref 120 within AS702
	702:20	Announce only to VzBi AS'es and VzBi customers
	702:30	Keep within Europe, don't announce to other VzBi AS
	702:1	Prepend AS702 once at edges of VzBi to Peers
	702:2	Prepend AS702 twice at edges of VzBi to Peers
	702:3	Prepend AS702 thrice at edges of VzBi to Peers
	Advanced of	communities for customers
	702:7020	Do not announce to AS702 peers with a scope of
		National but advertise to Global Peers, European
		Peers and VzBi customers.
	702:7001	Prepend AS702 once at edges of VzBi to AS702
		peers with a scope of National.
	702:7002	Prepend AS702 twice at edges of VzBi to AS702
(peers with a scope of National.

(more)

ISP Example: Verizon Business Europe

source:

RTPE

(more) 702:7003 Prepend AS702 thrice at edges of VzBi to AS702 peers with a scope of National. 702:8020 Do not announce to AS702 peers with a scope of European but advertise to Global Peers, National Peers and VzBi customers. 702:8001 Prepend AS702 once at edges of VzBi to AS702 peers with a scope of European. 702:8002 Prepend AS702 twice at edges of VzBi to AS702 peers with a scope of European. 702:8003 Prepend AS702 thrice at edges of VzBi to AS702 peers with a scope of European. Additional details of the VzBi communities are located at: http://www.verizonbusiness.com/uk/customer/bqp/ mnt-by: WCOM-EMEA-RICE-MNT

ISP Example: BT Ignite

aut-num:	AS5400	
descr:	BT Ignite European Backbone	
remarks:		
remarks:	Community to	Community to
remarks:	Not announce To peer:	AS prepend 5400
remarks:	-	
remarks:	5400:1000 All peers & Transits	5400:2000
remarks:	_	
remarks:	5400:1500 All Transits	5400:2500
remarks:	5400:1501 Sprint Transit (AS1239)	5400:2501
remarks:	5400:1502 SAVVIS Transit (AS3561)	5400:2502
remarks:	5400:1503 Level 3 Transit (AS3356)	5400:2503
remarks:	5400:1504 AT&T Transit (AS7018)	5400:2504
remarks:	5400:1506 GlobalCrossing Trans(AS3549)	5400:2506
remarks:		
remarks:	5400:1001 Nexica (AS24592)	5400:2001
remarks:	5400:1002 Fujitsu (AS3324)	5400:2002
remarks:	5400:1004 C&W EU (1273)	5400:2004
<snip></snip>	And man	
notify:	notify@eu.bt.net And man	
mnt-by:	CIP-MNT many mo	re!
source:	RIPE	

ISP Example: Level 3

aut-num:	AS3356
descr:	Level 3 Communications
<snip></snip>	
remarks:	
remarks:	customer traffic engineering communities - Suppression
remarks:	
remarks:	64960:XXX - announce to AS XXX if 65000:0
remarks:	65000:0 - announce to customers but not to peers
remarks:	65000:XXX - do not announce at peerings to AS XXX
remarks:	
remarks:	customer traffic engineering communities - Prepending
remarks:	
remarks:	65001:0 - prepend once to all peers
remarks:	65001:XXX - prepend once at peerings to AS XXX
<snip></snip>	
remarks:	3356:70 - set local preference to 70
remarks:	3356:80 - set local preference to 80
remarks:	3356:90 - set local preference to 90
remarks:	3356:9999 - blackhole (discard) traffic
<snip></snip>	And many
mnt-by:	LEVEL3-MNT
source:	RIPE many more!

000			Pol	icies & P	rocedures – Re	outing Policies -	NTT America	a – www.us.ntt.net
		+ http://www.	us.ntt.net/supp	ort/policy	/routing.cfm			Reader 🖒 🔍 G
60 M III	Apple	Smart Bookmarks 🔻	Popular (59) 🔻	Philip▼	Networking v	Miscellaneous *	TinyURL!	
Policies &	Procedur	es – Routing P						
	BGP of	ustomer communit	ies					

Customers wanting to alter local preference on their routes.

NTT Communications BGP customers may choose to affect our local preference on their routes by marking their routes with the following communities:

CommunityLocal-p	ref Description	
------------------	-----------------	--

(default)	120	customer
2914:450	96	customer fallback
2914:460	98	peer backup
2914:470	100	peer
2914:480	110	customer backup
2914:490	120	customer default
2914:666		blackhole

ISP Example: NTT

Customers wanting to alter their route announcements to other customers.

NTT Communications BGP customers may choose to prepend to all other NTT Communications BGP customers with the following communities:

Community Description 2914:411 prepends o/b to customer 1x 2914:412 prepends o/b to customer 2x 2914:413 prepends o/b to customer 3x

Customers wanting to alter their route announcements to peers.

NTT Communications BGP customers may choose to prepend to all NTT Communications peers with the following communities:

Communit	y Description
2914:421	prepends o/b to peer 1x
2914:422	prepends o/b to peer 2x
2914:423	prepends o/b to peer 3x
2914:429	do not advertise to any peer

2914:439 do not advertise to any peer outside region

Note: If used, 655xx:nnn (see below) overrides the 2914:42x communities.

Customers wanting to alter their route announcements to selected peers.

NTT Communications BGP customers may choose to prepend to selected tier 1 peers with the following communities, where nnn is the tier 1 peer's ASN:

Community Description

More info at www.us.ntt.net/about/ policy/routing.cfm

Creating your own community policy

Consider creating communities to give policy control to customers

- Reduces technical support burden
- Reduces the amount of router reconfiguration, and the chance of mistakes
- Use the previous ISP and configuration examples as a guideline

Using Communities for Customers Policy

Giving policy control to customers...

Customer Policy Control

- ISPs have a choice on how to handle policy control for customers
- No delegation of policy options:
 - Customer has no choices
 - If customer wants changes, ISP Technical Support handles it
- Limited delegation of policy options:
 - Customer has choices
 - ISP Technical Support does not need to be involved
- BGP Communities are the only viable way of offering policy control to customers

Policy Definitions

Typical definitions:

- Nil No community set, just announce everywhere
- X:1 1x prepend to all BGP neighbours
- X:2 2x prepend to all BGP neighbours
- X:3 3x prepend to all BGP neighbours
- X:80 Local pref 80 on customer prefixes
- X:120 Local pref 120 on customer prefixes
- X:666 Black hole this route please!
- X:5000 Don't announce to any BGP neighbour
- X:5AA0 Don't announce to BGP neighbour AA
- X:5AAB Prepend B times to BGP neighbour AA

Policy Implementation

- The BGP configuration for the initial communities was discussed at the start of this slide set
- But the new communities, X:5MMN, are worth covering in more detail
 - The ISP in AS X documents the BGP transits and peers that they have (MM can be 01 to 99)
 - The ISP in AS X indicates how many prepends they will support (N can be 1 to 9, but realistically 4 prepends is usually enough on today's Internet)
 - Customers then construct communities to do the prepending or announcement blocking they desire
- If a customer tags a prefix announcement with:
 - 100:5030 don't send prefix to BGP neighbour 03
 - 100:5102 2x prepend prefix announcement to peer 10

Community Definitions

Example: ISP in AS 100 has two upstreams. They create policy based on previously slide to allow no announce and up to 3 prepends for their customers

Don't announce anywhere ip community-list 100 permit 100:5000 Single prepend to all ip community-list 101 permit 100:5001 🥌 ip community-list 102 permit 100:5002 ip community-list 103 permit 100:5003 ip community-list 110 permit 100:5010 Don't announce to peer 1 ip community-list 111 permit 100:5011 ip community-list 112 permit 100:5012 ip community-list 113 permit 100:5013 ip community-list 120 permit 100:5020 ip community-list 121 permit 100:5021 Single prepend to peer 2 ip community-list 122 permit 100:5022 🛩 ip community-list 123 permit 100:5023 204





ISP's BGP configuration

```
router bgp 100
neighbor a.a.a.a remote-as 200
neighbor a.a.a.a route-map bgp-neigh-01 out
neighbor a.a.a.a route-map policy-01 in
neighbor b.b.b.b remote-as 300
neighbor b.b.b.b route-map bgp-neigh-02 out
neighbor b.b.b.b route-map policy-02 in
```

- The route-maps are then applied to the appropriate neighbour
- As long as the customer sets the appropriate communities, the policy will be applied to their prefixes

Customer BGP configuration

```
router bgp 600
neighbor c.c.c.c remote-as 100
neighbor a.a.a.a route-map upstream out
neighbor a.a.a.a prefix-list default in
!
route-map upstream permit 10
match ip address prefix-list blockA
set community 100:5010 100:5023
route-map upstream permit 20
match ip address aggregate
```

□ This will:

- 3x prepend of blockA towards their upstream's 2nd BGP neighbour
- Not announce blockA towards their upstream's 1st BGP neighbour
- Let the aggregate through with no specific policy

Customer Policy Control

- Notice how much flexibility a BGP customer could have with this type of policy implementation
- Advantages:
 - Customer has flexibility
 - ISP Technical Support does not need to be involved
- Disadvantages
 - Customer could upset ISP loadbalancing tuning
- Advice
 - This kind of policy control is very useful, but should only be considered if appropriate for the circumstances

Conclusion: Communities

Communities are fun! ③

And they are extremely powerful tools

- Think about community policies, e.g. like the additions described here
- Supporting extensive community usage makes customer configuration easy
- Watch out for routing loops!



Summary

Multihoming is not hard, really...

Keep It Simple & Stupid!

Full routing table is *rarely* required

- A default is often just as good
- If customers want 400k prefixes, charge them money for it

BGP Multihoming Techniques

End of Tutorial