



# BGP Multihoming

ISP/IXP Workshops

# Why Multihome?

- Redundancy

One connection to internet means the network is dependent on:

Local router (configuration, software, hardware)

WAN media (physical failure, carrier failure)

Upstream Service Provider (configuration, software, hardware)

# Why Multihome?

- Reliability

Business critical applications demand continuous availability

Lack of redundancy implies lack of reliability implies loss of revenue

# Why Multihome?

- Supplier Diversity

Many businesses demand supplier diversity as a matter of course

Internet connection from two or more suppliers

With two or more diverse WAN paths

With two or more exit points

With two or more international connections

**Two of everything**

# Why Multihome?

- Not really a reason, but oft quoted...

- Leverage:

Playing one ISP off against the other for:

Service Quality

Service Offerings

Availability

# Why Multihome?

- Summary:

Multihoming is easy to demand as requirement of any operation

But what does it really mean:

In real life?

For the network?

For the Internet?

And how do we do it?

# Multihoming Definition

- More than one link external to the local network
  - two or more links to the same ISP
  - two or more links to different ISPs
- Usually **two** external facing routers
  - one router gives link and provider redundancy only

# Multihoming

- The scenarios described here apply equally well to end sites being customers of ISPs and ISPs being customers of other ISPs
- Implementation detail may be different
  - end site → ISP                      ISP controls config
  - ISP1 → ISP2                      ISPs share config



# Autonomous System Number (ASN)

- Two ranges

|                  |                          |
|------------------|--------------------------|
| 0-65535          | (original 16-bit range)  |
| 65536-4294967295 | (32-bit range - RFC4893) |

- Usage:

|                  |  |
|------------------|--|
| 0 and 65535      | (reserved)                               |
| 1-64495          | (public Internet)                        |
| 64496-64511      | (documentation - RFC5398)                |
| 64512-65534      | (private use only)                       |
| 23456            | (represent 32-bit range in 16-bit world) |
| 65536-65551      | (documentation - RFC5398)                |
| 65552-4294967295 | (public Internet)                        |

- 32-bit range representation specified in RFC5396

Defines “asplain” (traditional format) as standard notation

# Autonomous System Number (ASN)

- ASNs are distributed by the Regional Internet Registries

They are also available from upstream ISPs who are members of one of the RIRs

- Current 16-bit ASN allocations up to 49151 have been made to the RIRs

Around 30500 are visible on the Internet

- The RIRs also have received 1024 32-bit ASNs each

Around 18 are visible on the Internet (early adopters)

- See [www.iana.org/assignments/as-numbers](http://www.iana.org/assignments/as-numbers)

# Private-AS – Application

- Applications


## An ISP with customers multihomed on their backbone (RFC2270)

-or-

A corporate network with several regions but connections to the Internet only in the core

-or-

## Within a BGP Confederation



# Private-AS – Removal

- Private ASNs MUST be removed from all prefixes announced to the public Internet
  - Include configuration to remove private ASNs in the eBGP template
- As with RFC1918 address space, private ASNs are intended for internal use
  - They should not be leaked to the public Internet
- Cisco IOS
  - neighbor x.x.x.x remove-private-AS**

# Configuring Policy

- Assumptions:
  - prefix-lists are used throughout
  - easier/better/faster than access-lists
- Three BASIC Principles
  - prefix-lists** to filter **prefixes**
  - filter-lists** to filter **ASNs**
  - route-maps** to apply **policy**
- Route-maps can be used for filtering, but this is more “advanced” configuration

# Policy Tools

- Local preference  
outbound traffic flows
- Metric (MED)  
inbound traffic flows (local scope)
- AS-PATH prepend  
inbound traffic flows (Internet scope)
- Communities  
specific inter-provider peering

# Originating Prefixes: Assumptions

- **MUST** announce assigned address block to Internet
- MAY also announce subprefixes – reachability is not guaranteed
- Current minimum allocation is from /20 to /22 depending on the RIR

Several ISPs filter RIR blocks on this boundary

Several ISPs filter the rest of address space according to the IANA assignments

This activity is called “Net Police” by some

# Originating Prefixes

- The RIRs publish their minimum allocation sizes per /8 address block

AfriNIC: [www.afrinic.net/docs/policies/afpol-v4200407-000.htm](http://www.afrinic.net/docs/policies/afpol-v4200407-000.htm)

APNIC: [www.apnic.net/db/min-alloc.html](http://www.apnic.net/db/min-alloc.html)

ARIN: [www.arin.net/reference/ip\\_blocks.html](http://www.arin.net/reference/ip_blocks.html)

LACNIC: [lacnic.net/en/registro/index.html](http://lacnic.net/en/registro/index.html)

RIPE NCC: [www.ripe.net/ripe/docs/smallest-alloc-sizes.html](http://www.ripe.net/ripe/docs/smallest-alloc-sizes.html)

Note that AfriNIC only publishes its current minimum allocation size, not the allocation size for its address blocks

- IANA publishes the address space it has assigned to end-sites and allocated to the RIRs:

[www.iana.org/assignments/ipv4-address-space](http://www.iana.org/assignments/ipv4-address-space)

- Several ISPs use this published information to filter prefixes on:
  - What should be routed (from IANA)
  - The minimum allocation size from the RIRs



## “Net Police” prefix list issues

- Meant to “punish” ISPs who pollute the routing table with specifics rather than announcing aggregates
- Impacts legitimate multihoming especially at the Internet’s edge
- Impacts regions where domestic backbone is unavailable or costs \$\$\$ compared with international bandwidth
- Hard to maintain – requires updating when RIRs start allocating from new address blocks
- Don’t do it unless consequences understood and you are prepared to keep the list current

Consider using the Team Cymru or other reputable bogon BGP feed:

<http://www.team-cymru.org/Services/Bogons/routeserver.html>




# Multihoming Options

# Multihoming Scenarios


- Stub network
- Multi-homed stub network
- Multi-homed network
- Configuration Options

# Stub Network




- No need for BGP
- Point static default to upstream ISP
- Upstream ISP advertises stub network
- Policy confined within upstream ISP's policy

# Multi-homed Stub Network



- Use BGP (not IGP or static) to loadshare
- Use private AS (ASN > 64511)
- Upstream ISP advertises stub network
- Policy confined within upstream ISP's policy


# Multi-homed Network



- Many situations possible
  - multiple sessions to same ISP
  - secondary for backup only
  - load-share between primary and secondary
  - selectively use different ISPs

# Multiple Sessions to an ISP

- Several options
  - ebgp multihop
  - bgp multipath
  - cef loadsharing
  - bgp attribute manipulation




# Multiple Sessions to an ISP

## – Example One

- Use eBGP multihop
  - eBGP to loopback addresses
  - eBGP prefixes learned with loopback address as next hop
- Cisco IOS

```
router bgp 65534
  neighbor 1.1.1.1 remote-as 200
  neighbor 1.1.1.1 ebgp-multihop 2
!
ip route 1.1.1.1 255.255.255.255 serial 1/0
ip route 1.1.1.1 255.255.255.255 serial 1/1
ip route 1.1.1.1 255.255.255.255 serial 1/2
```






# Multiple Sessions to an ISP

## – Example One

- One eBGP-multihop gotcha:  
R1 and R3 are eBGP peers that are loopback peering  
Configured with:  
`neighbor x.x.x.x ebgp-multihop 2`  
If the R1 to R3 link goes down the session could establish via R2



# Multiple Sessions to an ISP

## – Example One

- Try and avoid use of ebgp-multihop unless:
  - It's absolutely necessary –or–
  - Loadsharing across multiple links
- Many ISPs discourage its use, for example:

**We will run eBGP multihop, but do not support it as a standard offering because customers generally have a hard time managing it due to:**


- routing loops
- failure to realise that BGP session stability problems are usually due connectivity problems between their CPE and their BGP speaker

# Multiple Sessions to an ISP

## bgp multi path

- Three BGP sessions required
- limit of 6 parallel paths

```
router bgp 201  
  neighbor 1.1.2.1 remote-as 200  
  neighbor 1.1.2.5 remote-as 200  
  neighbor 1.1.2.9 remote-as 200  
  maximum-paths 3
```



# Multiple Sessions to an ISP


- Use eBGP multi-path to install multiple paths in IP table

```
router bgp 201
```

```
maximum-path <1-6>
```


- Load share over the alternate paths

per destination loadsharing



# Multiple Sessions to an ISP

- Simplest scheme is to use defaults
- Learn/advertise prefixes for better control
- Planning and some work required to achieve loadsharing
  - Point default towards one ISP
  - Learn selected prefixes from second ISP
  - Modify the number of prefixes learnt to achieve acceptable load sharing
- No magic solution





# Preparing the network

Before we begin...

# Preparing the Network

- We will deploy BGP across the network before we try and multihome
- BGP will be used therefore an ASN is required
- If multihoming to different ISPs, public ASN needed:
  - Either go to upstream ISP who is a registry member, or
  - Apply to the RIR yourself for a one off assignment, or
  - Ask an ISP who is a registry member, or
  - Join the RIR and get your own IP address allocation too**  
(this option strongly recommended)!

# Preparing the Network

## Initial Assumptions

- The network is not running any BGP at the moment  
single statically routed connection to upstream ISP
- The network is not running any IGP at all  
Static default and routes through the network to do “routing”



# Preparing the Network

## First Step: IGP

- Decide on IGP: OSPF or ISIS ☺
- Assign loopback interfaces and /32 addresses to each router which will run the IGP

Loopback is OSPF and BGP router id

Used for iBGP and route origination

- Deploy IGP (e.g. OSPF)

IGP can be deployed with NO IMPACT on the existing static routing


OSPF distance is 110, static distance is 1

**Smallest distance wins**

# Preparing the Network

## Second Step: iBGP


- Second step is to configure the local network to use iBGP
- iBGP can run on
  - all routers, or
  - a subset of routers, or
  - just on the upstream edge
- iBGP must run on all routers which are in the transit path between external connections



# Preparing the Network

## Second Step: iBGP (Transit Path)

- iBGP must run on all routers which are in the transit path between external connections
- Routers C, E and F are not in the transit path  
Static routes or IGP will suffice
- Router D is in the transit path  
Will need to be in iBGP mesh, otherwise routing loops will result



# Preparing the Network Layers

- Typical SP networks have three layers:
  - Core – the backbone, usually the transit path
  - Distribution – the middle, PoP aggregation layer
  - Aggregation – the edge, the devices connecting customers

# Preparing the Network Aggregation Layer

- iBGP is optional

Many ISPs run iBGP here, either partial routing (more common) or full routing (less common)

Full routing is not needed unless customers want full table

Partial routing is cheaper/easier, might usually consist of internal prefixes and, optionally, external prefixes to aid external load balancing

Communities and peer-groups make this administratively easy

- Many aggregation devices can't run iBGP

Static routes from distribution devices for address pools

IGP for best exit

# Preparing the Network Distribution Layer

- Usually runs iBGP
  - Partial or full routing (as with aggregation layer)
- But does not have to run iBGP
  - IGP is then used to carry customer prefixes (does not scale)
  - IGP is used to determine nearest exit
- Networks which plan to grow large should deploy iBGP from day one
  - Migration at a later date is extra work
  - No extra overhead in deploying iBGP, indeed IGP benefits

# Preparing the Network Core Layer

- Core of network is usually the transit path
- iBGP necessary between core devices

Full routes or partial routes:

Transit ISPs carry full routes in core

Edge ISPs carry partial routes only

- Core layer includes AS border routers

# Preparing the Network iBGP Implementation

Decide on:

- Best iBGP policy

Will it be full routes everywhere, or partial, or some mix?

- iBGP scaling technique

Community policy?

Route-reflectors?

Techniques such as peer groups and peer templates?



# Preparing the Network

## iBGP Implementation

- Then deploy iBGP:

Step 1: Introduce iBGP mesh on chosen routers

make sure that iBGP distance is greater than IGP distance (it usually is)

Step 2: Install “customer” prefixes into iBGP

**Check!** Does the network still work?

Step 3: Carefully remove the static routing for the prefixes now in IGP and iBGP

**Check!** Does the network still work?

Step 4: Deployment of eBGP follows

# Preparing the Network

## iBGP Implementation

### *Install “customer” prefixes into iBGP?*

- Customer assigned address space
  - Network statement/static route combination
  - Use unique community to identify customer assignments
- Customer facing point-to-point links
  - Redistribute connected through filters which only permit point-to-point link addresses to enter iBGP
  - Use a unique community to identify point-to-point link addresses (these are only required for your monitoring system)
- Dynamic assignment pools & local LANs
  - Simple network statement will do this
  - Use unique community to identify these networks

# Preparing the Network

## iBGP Implementation

### *Carefully remove static routes?*

- Work on one router at a time:
  - Check that static route for a particular destination is also learned by the iBGP
  - If so, remove it
  - If not, establish why and fix the problem  
(Remember to look in the RIB, not the FIB!)
- Then the next router, until the whole PoP is done
- Then the next PoP, and so on until the network is now dependent on the IGP and iBGP you have deployed

# Preparing the Network Completion

- Previous steps are NOT flag day steps

Each can be carried out during different maintenance periods, for example:

Step One on Week One

Step Two on Week Two


Step Three on Week Three

And so on

And with proper planning will have NO customer visible impact at all

# Preparing the Network Configuration – Before BGP

```
interface loopback 0
 ip address 121.10.255.1 255.255.255.255
!
interface ethernet 0/0 ! ISP backbone
 ip address 121.10.1.1 255.255.255.240
!
interface serial 0/0 ! Customer
 ip address 121.10.0.1 255.255.255.252
!
router ospf 100
 network 121.10.255.1 0.0.0.0 area 0
 network 121.10.1.0 0.0.0.15 area 0
 passive-interface default
 no passive-interface ethernet 0/0
!
ip route 121.10.24.0 255.255.252.0 serial 0/0
```



Add loopback configuration if not already there

# Preparing the Network Configuration – Steps 1 & 2

! interface and OSPF configuration unchanged

!

router bgp 100

redistribute connected subnets route-map point-to-point

neighbor 121.10.1.2 remote-as 100

neighbor 121.10.1.2 next-hop-self

...

network 121.10.24.0 mask 255.255.252.0

distance bgp 200 200 200

!

ip route 121.10.24.0 255.255.252.0 serial 0/0

!

route-map point-to-point permit 5

match ip address 1

set community 100:1

!

access-list 1 permit 121.10.0.0 0.0.255.255



Add BGP and related configuration in red

# Preparing the Network Configuration Summary

- Customer networks are now in iBGP
  - iBGP deployed over the backbone
  - Full or Partial or Upstream Edge only
- BGP distance is greater than any IGP
- Now ready to deploy eBGP



# Basic Multihoming

Let's learn to walk before we try running...



# Basic Multihoming

- No frills multihoming
- Will look at two cases:
  - Multihoming with the same ISP
  - Multihoming to different ISPs
- Will keep the examples easy
  - Understanding easy concepts will make the more complex scenarios easier to comprehend
  - Assume that the network which is multihoming has a /19 address block

# Basic Multihoming

- This type is most commonplace at the edge of the Internet
  - Networks here are usually concerned with inbound traffic flows
  - Outbound traffic flows being “nearest exit” is usually sufficient
- Can apply to the leaf ISP as well as Enterprise networks




# Two links to the same ISP

**Basic – No Redundancy**

## Two links to the same ISP

- Can use BGP for this to aid loadsharing
  - use a private AS (ASNs in range 64512 to 65534)
- upstream ISP proxy aggregates
  - in other words, announces only your address block to the Internet (as would be done if you had one statically routed connection)

## Two links to the same ISP



- AS100 proxy aggregates for AS 65534

## Two links to the same ISP

- Split /19 and announce as two /20s, one on each link  
basic inbound loadsharing
- Example has no practical use, but demonstrates the principles

# Two links to the same ISP

- Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 65534
  network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.240.0
  network 121.10.16.0 mask 255.255.240.0
  neighbor 122.102.10.2 remote-as 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list routerC out
  neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list default in
!
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list routerC permit 121.10.0.0/20
!
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.240.0 null0
ip route 121.10.16.0 255.255.240.0 null0
```

# Two links to the same ISP

- Router B Configuration

```
router bgp 65534
  network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.240.0
  network 121.10.16.0 mask 255.255.240.0
  neighbor 122.102.10.6 remote-as 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.6 prefix-list routerD out
  neighbor 122.102.10.6 prefix-list default in
!
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list routerD permit 121.10.16.0/20
!
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.240.0 null0
ip route 121.10.16.0 255.255.240.0 null0
```



# Two links to the same ISP

- Router C Configuration

```
router bgp 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 65534
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 default-originate
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list Customer in
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default out
!
ip prefix-list Customer permit 121.10.0.0/20
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
```

# Two links to the same ISP

- Router D Configuration

```
router bgp 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.5 remote-as 65534
  neighbor 122.102.10.5 default-originate
  neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list Customer in
  neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list default out
!
ip prefix-list Customer permit 121.10.16.0/20
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
```

## Two links to the same ISP

- Router E is AS100 border router
  - removes prefixes in the private AS from external announcements
  - implements the proxy aggregation for the customer prefixes

# Two links to the same ISP

- Router E Configuration

```
router bgp 100
  network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
  neighbor 122.102.10.17 remote-as 110
  neighbor 122.102.10.17 filter-list 1 out
!
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
!
ip as-path access-list 1 deny ^65534$
ip as-path access-list 1 permit ^$
```

- Private AS still visible inside AS100

# Two links to the same ISP

- **Big Problem:**

- no backup in case of link failure

- /19 address block not announced

- AS Path filtering “awkward”

- easier to use bgp command

- `neighbor x.x.x.x remove-private-AS`



## Two links to the same ISP


One link primary, the other link backup only

## Two links to the same ISP (one as backup only)

- Applies when end-site has bought a large primary WAN link to their upstream a small secondary WAN link as the backup

For example, primary path might be an E1, backup might be 64kbps

## Two links to the same ISP (one as backup only)



- AS100 removes private AS and any customer subprefixes from Internet announcement



# Two links to the same ISP (one as backup only)

- Announce /19 aggregate on each link
  - primary link:
    - Outbound – announce /19 unaltered
    - Inbound – receive default route
  - backup link:
    - Outbound – announce /19 with increased metric
    - Inbound – received default, and reduce local preference
- When one link fails, the announcement of the /19 aggregate via the other link ensures continued connectivity

# Two links to the same ISP (one as backup only)

- Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 65534
  network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
  neighbor 122.102.10.2 remote-as 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.2 description RouterC
  neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list aggregate out
  neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list default in
!
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

# Two links to the same ISP (one as backup only)

- Router B Configuration

```
router bgp 65534
  network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
  neighbor 122.102.10.6 remote-as 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.6 description RouterD
  neighbor 122.102.10.6 prefix-list aggregate out
  neighbor 122.102.10.6 route-map routerD-out out
  neighbor 122.102.10.6 prefix-list default in
  neighbor 122.102.10.6 route-map routerD-in in
!
```

..next slide

## Two links to the same ISP (one as backup only)

```
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
!
route-map routerD-out permit 10
  match ip address prefix-list aggregate
  set metric 10
route-map routerD-out permit 20
!
route-map routerD-in permit 10
  set local-preference 90
!
```

# Two links to the same ISP (one as backup only)

- Router C Configuration (main link)

```
router bgp 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 65534
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 default-originate
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list Customer in
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default out
!
ip prefix-list Customer permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
```

# Two links to the same ISP (one as backup only)

- Router D Configuration (backup link)

```
router bgp 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.5 remote-as 65534
  neighbor 122.102.10.5 default-originate
  neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list Customer in
  neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list default out
!
ip prefix-list Customer permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
```

# Two links to the same ISP (one as backup only)

- Router E Configuration

```
router bgp 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.17 remote-as 110
  neighbor 122.102.10.17 remove-private-AS
  neighbor 122.102.10.17 prefix-list Customer out
!
ip prefix-list Customer permit 121.10.0.0/19
```

- Router E removes the private AS and customer's subprefixes from external announcements
- Private AS still visible inside AS100



# Two links to the same ISP


With Redundancy and Loadsharing



# Loadsharing to the same ISP

- More common case
- End sites tend not to buy circuits and leave them idle, only used for backup as in previous example
- This example assumes equal capacity circuits  
Unequal capacity circuits requires more refinement – see later

# Loadsharing to the same ISP



- Border router E in AS100 removes private AS and any customer subprefixes from Internet announcement

# Loadsharing to the same ISP (with redundancy)

- Announce /19 aggregate on each link
- Split /19 and announce as two /20s, one on each link
  - basic inbound loadsharing
    - assumes equal circuit capacity and even spread of traffic across address block
- Vary the split until “perfect” loadsharing achieved
- Accept the default from upstream
  - basic outbound loadsharing by nearest exit
    - okay in first approx as most ISP and end-site traffic is inbound

# Loadsharing to the same ISP (with redundancy)

- Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 65534
  network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
  network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.240.0
  neighbor 122.102.10.2 remote-as 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list routerC out
  neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list default in
!
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list routerC permit 121.10.0.0/20
ip prefix-list routerC permit 121.10.0.0/19
!
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.240.0 null0
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

# Loadsharing to the same ISP (with redundancy)

- Router B Configuration

```
router bgp 65534
  network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
  network 121.10.16.0 mask 255.255.240.0
  neighbor 122.102.10.6 remote-as 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.6 prefix-list routerD out
  neighbor 122.102.10.6 prefix-list default in
!
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list routerD permit 121.10.16.0/20
ip prefix-list routerD permit 121.10.0.0/19
!
ip route 121.10.16.0 255.255.240.0 null0
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

# Loadsharing to the same ISP (with redundancy)

- Router C Configuration

```
router bgp 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 65534
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 default-originate
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list Customer in
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default out
!
ip prefix-list Customer permit 121.10.0.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
```

- Router C only allows in /19 and /20 prefixes from customer block
- Router D configuration is identical

# Loadsharing to the same ISP (with redundancy)

- Router E Configuration

```
router bgp 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.17 remote-as 110
  neighbor 122.102.10.17 remove-private-AS
  neighbor 122.102.10.17 prefix-list Customer out
!
ip prefix-list Customer permit 121.10.0.0/19
```

- Private AS still visible inside AS100

# Loadsharing to the same ISP (with redundancy)

- Default route for outbound traffic?

Use default-information originate for the IGP and rely on IGP metrics for nearest exit

e.g. on router A:

```
router ospf 65534
```

```
default-information originate metric 2 metric-type 1
```



# Loadsharing to the same ISP (with redundancy)

- Loadsharing configuration is only on customer router
- Upstream ISP has to
  - remove customer subprefixes from external announcements
  - remove private AS from external announcements
- Could also use BGP communities




# Two links to the same ISP

**Multiple Dualhomed Customers  
(RFC2270)**

# Multiple Dualhomed Customers (RFC2270)

- Unusual for an ISP just to have one dualhomed customer
  - Valid/valuable service offering for an ISP with multiple PoPs
  - Better for ISP than having customer multihome with another provider!
- Look at scaling the configuration
  - ⇒ Simplifying the configuration
  - Using templates, peer-groups, etc
  - Every customer has the same configuration (basically)

# Multiple Dualhomed Customers (RFC2270)



- Border router E in AS100 removes private AS and any customer subprefixes from Internet announcement

# Multiple Dualhomed Customers (RFC2270)

- Customer announcements as per previous example
- Use the same private AS for each customer
  - documented in RFC2270
  - address space is not overlapping
  - each customer hears default only
- Router An and Bn configuration same as Router A and B previously

# Multiple Dualhomed Customers (RFC2270)

- Router A1 Configuration

```
router bgp 65534
  network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
  network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.240.0
  neighbor 122.102.10.2 remote-as 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list routerC out
  neighbor 122.102.10.2 prefix-list default in
!
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list routerC permit 121.10.0.0/20
ip prefix-list routerC permit 121.10.0.0/19
!
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.240.0 null0
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

# Multiple Dualhomed Customers (RFC2270)

- Router B1 Configuration

```
router bgp 65534
  network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
  network 121.10.16.0 mask 255.255.240.0
  neighbor 122.102.10.6 remote-as 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.6 prefix-list routerD out
  neighbor 122.102.10.6 prefix-list default in
!
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list routerD permit 121.10.16.0/20
ip prefix-list routerD permit 121.10.0.0/19
!
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
ip route 121.10.16.0 255.255.240.0 null0
```

# Multiple Dualhomed Customers (RFC2270)

- Router C Configuration

```
router bgp 100
  neighbor bgp-customers peer-group
  neighbor bgp-customers remote-as 65534
  neighbor bgp-customers default-originate
  neighbor bgp-customers prefix-list default out
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 peer-group bgp-customers
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 description Customer One
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list Customer1 in
  neighbor 122.102.10.9 peer-group bgp-customers
  neighbor 122.102.10.9 description Customer Two
  neighbor 122.102.10.9 prefix-list Customer2 in
```



# Multiple Dualhomed Customers (RFC2270)

```
neighbor 122.102.10.17 peer-group bgp-customers
neighbor 122.102.10.17 description Customer Three
neighbor 122.102.10.17 prefix-list Customer3 in
!
ip prefix-list Customer1 permit 121.10.0.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list Customer2 permit 121.16.64.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list Customer3 permit 121.14.192.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
```

- Router C only allows in /19 and /20 prefixes from customer block

# Multiple Dualhomed Customers (RFC2270)

- Router D Configuration

```
router bgp 100
  neighbor bgp-customers peer-group
  neighbor bgp-customers remote-as 65534
  neighbor bgp-customers default-originate
  neighbor bgp-customers prefix-list default out
  neighbor 122.102.10.5 peer-group bgp-customers
  neighbor 122.102.10.5 description Customer One
  neighbor 122.102.10.5 prefix-list Customer1 in
  neighbor 122.102.10.13 peer-group bgp-customers
  neighbor 122.102.10.13 description Customer Two
  neighbor 122.102.10.13 prefix-list Customer2 in
```

# Multiple Dualhomed Customers (RFC2270)

```
neighbor 122.102.10.21 peer-group bgp-customers
neighbor 122.102.10.21 description Customer Three
neighbor 122.102.10.21 prefix-list Customer3 in
!
ip prefix-list Customer1 permit 121.10.0.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list Customer2 permit 121.16.64.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list Customer3 permit 121.14.192.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
```

- Router D only allows in /19 and /20 prefixes from customer block

# Multiple Dualhomed Customers (RFC2270)

- Router E Configuration

assumes customer address space is not part of upstream's address block

```
router bgp 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.17 remote-as 110
  neighbor 122.102.10.17 remove-private-AS
  neighbor 122.102.10.17 prefix-list Customers out
!
ip prefix-list Customers permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list Customers permit 121.16.64.0/19
ip prefix-list Customers permit 121.14.192.0/19
```

- Private AS still visible inside AS100

# Multiple Dualhomed Customers (RFC2270)

- If customers' prefixes come from ISP's address block  
do **NOT** announce them to the Internet  
announce ISP aggregate only

- Router E configuration:

```
router bgp 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.17 remote-as 110
  neighbor 122.102.10.17 prefix-list my-aggregate out
!
ip prefix-list my-aggregate permit 121.8.0.0/13
```

# Multihoming Summary

- Use private AS for multihoming to upstream
- Leak subprefixes to upstream only to aid loadsharing
- Upstream router E configuration is identical across all situations



# Basic Multihoming

## Multihoming to Different ISPs

## Two links to different ISPs

- Use a Public AS

Or use private AS if agreed with the other ISP

But some people don't like the "inconsistent-AS" which results from use of a private-AS

- Address space comes from

both upstreams or

Regional Internet Registry

- Configuration concepts very similar




## Inconsistent-AS?

- Viewing the prefixes originated by AS65534 in the Internet shows they appear to be originated by both AS210 and AS200

This is NOT bad

Nor is it illegal

- IOS command is  
`show ip bgp inconsistent-as`






## Two links to different ISPs

**Basic – No Redundancy**

# Two links to different ISPs (no redundancy)

- Example for PI space  
ISP network, or large enterprise site
- Split /19 and announce as two /20s, one on each link  
basic inbound loadsharing

## Two links to different ISPs (no redundancy)



# Two links to different ISPs (no redundancy)

- Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 130
  network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.240.0
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list routerC out
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default in
!
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list routerC permit 121.10.0.0/20
```

# Two links to different ISPs (no redundancy)

- Router B Configuration

```
router bgp 130
  network 121.10.16.0 mask 255.255.240.0
  neighbor 120.1.5.1 remote-as 120
  neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list routerD out
  neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list default in
!
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
ip prefix-list routerD permit 121.10.16.0/20
```

# Two links to different ISPs (no redundancy)

- Router C Configuration

```
router bgp 100
  neighbor 121.10.1.1 remote-as 130
  neighbor 121.10.1.1 default-originate
  neighbor 121.10.1.1 prefix-list AS130cust in
  neighbor 121.10.1.1 prefix-list default-out out
!
```

- Router C only announces default to AS 130
- Router C only accepts AS130's prefix block

# Two links to different ISPs (no redundancy)

- Router D Configuration

```
router bgp 120
  neighbor 120.1.5.1 remote-as 130
  neighbor 120.1.5.1 default-originate
  neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list AS130cust in
  neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list default-out out
!
```

- Router D only announces default to AS 130
- Router D only accepts AS130's prefix block



# Two links to different ISPs (no redundancy)


- **Big Problem:**
  - no backup in case of link failure
- /19 address block not announced



## Two links to different ISPs

One link primary, the other link backup only

## Two links to different ISPs (one as backup only)



## Two links to different ISPs (one as backup only)

- Announce /19 aggregate on each link
  - primary link makes standard announcement
  - backup link lengthens the AS PATH by using AS PATH prepend
- When one link fails, the announcement of the /19 aggregate via the other link ensures continued connectivity

# Two links to different ISPs (one as backup only)

- Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 130
  network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list aggregate out
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default in
!
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

# Two links to different ISPs (one as backup only)

- Router B Configuration

```
router bgp 130
  network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
  neighbor 120.1.5.1 remote-as 120
  neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list aggregate out
  neighbor 120.1.5.1 route-map routerD-out out
  neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list default in
  neighbor 120.1.5.1 route-map routerD-in in
!
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
route-map routerD-out permit 10
  set as-path prepend 130 130 130
!
route-map routerD-in permit 10
  set local-preference 80
```

## Two links to different ISPs (one as backup only)

- Not a common situation as most sites tend to prefer using whatever capacity they have  
(Useful when two competing ISPs agree to provide mutual backup to each other)
- But it shows the basic concepts of using local-prefs and AS-path prepends for engineering traffic in the chosen direction




## Two links to different ISPs

With Redundancy and Loadsharing



## Two links to different ISPs (with loadsharing)



## Two links to different ISPs (with loadsharing)

- Announce /19 aggregate on each link
- Split /19 and announce as two /20s, one on each link  
basic inbound loadsharing
- When one link fails, the announcement of the /19 aggregate via the other ISP ensures continued connectivity

# Two links to different ISPs (with loadsharing)

- Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 130
  network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
  network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.240.0
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list firstblock out
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default in
!
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
ip prefix-list firstblock permit 121.10.0.0/20
ip prefix-list firstblock permit 121.10.0.0/19
```

# Two links to different ISPs (with loadsharing)

- Router B Configuration

```
router bgp 130
  network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
  network 121.10.16.0 mask 255.255.240.0
  neighbor 120.1.5.1 remote-as 120
  neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list secondblock out
  neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list default in
!
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
ip prefix-list secondblock permit 121.10.16.0/20
ip prefix-list secondblock permit 121.10.0.0/19
```

# Two links to different ISPs (with loadsharing)

- Loadsharing in this case is very basic
- But shows the first steps in designing a load sharing solution

Start with a simple concept


And build on it...!



## Two links to different ISPs

**More Controlled Loadsharing**

# Loadsharing with different ISPs



# Loadsharing with different ISPs

- Announce /19 aggregate on each link
  - On first link, announce /19 as normal
  - On second link, announce /19 with longer AS PATH, and announce one /20 subprefix
    - controls loadsharing between upstreams and the Internet
- Vary the subprefix size and AS PATH length until “perfect” loadsharing achieved
- Still require redundancy!



# Loadsharing with different ISPs

- Router A Configuration

```
router bgp 130
  network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 remote-as 100
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list default in
  neighbor 122.102.10.1 prefix-list aggregate out
!
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 121.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list default permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
ip route 121.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

# Loadsharing with different ISPs

- Router B Configuration

```
router bgp 130
  network 121.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
  network 121.10.16.0 mask 255.255.240.0
  neighbor 120.1.5.1 remote-as 120
  neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list default in
  neighbor 120.1.5.1 prefix-list subblocks out
  neighbor 120.1.5.1 route-map routerD out
!
route-map routerD permit 10
  match ip address prefix-list aggregate
  set as-path prepend 130 130
route-map routerD permit 20
!
ip prefix-list subblocks permit 121.10.0.0/19 le 20
ip prefix-list aggregate permit 121.10.0.0/19
```

# Loadsharing with different ISPs

- This example is more commonplace
- Shows how ISPs and end-sites subdivide address space frugally, as well as use the AS-PATH prepend concept to optimise the load sharing between different ISPs
- Notice that the /19 aggregate block is ALWAYS announced



# BGP Multihoming

ISP/IXP Workshops