

# CODEV-NIC free registry software

Stéphane Bortzmeyer  
AFNIC (".fr" registry)  
bortzmeyer@nic.fr

2 march 2006

Permission is granted to copy, distribute and/or modify this document under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License <http://www.gnu.org/licenses/licenses.html#FDL>, Version 1.2 or any later version published by the Free Software Foundation ; with no Invariant Sections, no Front-Cover Texts, and no Back-Cover Texts.

# The target

The small or medium DNS registry for a TLD (Top-Level Domain)

Managing a TLD is possible even in a small country, with few resources. A lot of value for a small investment.

## A registry is . . .

- ▶ A database,
- ▶ Tools to update and query it, from the registry and from outside,
- ▶ A few applications like the whois server, a DNS zone file generator. . .

## The state of ccTLD

Many ccTLD in the world are a in very poor state :

1. No real Information System,
2. Often, no written registration rules and procedures,
3. No whois server,
4. No real database (Excel spreadsheet, paper), registration often manual,
5. Only a few dozens of registrations,
6. Expensive,
7. Name servers not fully operational.

CODEV-NIC tries to address #1, #3 and #4 and may be indirectly #5 and #6.

## No fatality

A ccTLD is manageable with low-tech systems

Small machines and simple software

## Set of requirements

1. Multi-policy,
2. Free software,
3. Easily managed from the registry or from outside (registrars, public),
4. Entirely automatic,
5. Co-developed (no outsourcing, real co-development).

Mostly for small and medium registries (“com” was not a target).

## Multi-policy

Our most important requirement.

Every TLD has a different registration policy. Our vision is not one of uniformity. We want to be multi-policy.

Examples of differences :

- ▶ Direct selling vs. registry/registrar. If registrars, are they the only authority (for instance with contacts) or not ?
- ▶ IDN or not,
- ▶ Synchronous registration or not (papers required),
- ▶ Automatic expiration or explicit deletion ?
- ▶ Personal data protection.

## Existing software

- ▶ OpenReg, ISC  
<http://www.isc.org/index.pl?/sw/openreg/> Very good software but :
  - ▶ Only one registration policy (basically the one of “org”),
  - ▶ No interface for the registry staff or for the ordinary user (you have to develop it as an EPP client or as a message bus component),
  - ▶ The only interface for the registrars is EPP, which I regard as completely unrealistic for most countries.
- ▶ SRS-NZ <http://sourceforge.net/projects/dnrs/>
  - ▶ Only one registration policy
  - ▶ Not maintained for general use
- ▶ registro.br : non free

## The project

Four teams (three actually) in different countries. This is co-development : the best way to be sure the software is suitable for the users.

- ▶ NIC-CI (Ivory Coast)
- ▶ NIC-MG (Madagascar)
- ▶ AFNIC (France), manager.

Most of the money came from the french government.

## The process

First, a one-month workshop (feb. 2005) to discuss, prototype, brainstorm.

Participants came from seven NIC : Haiti, Algeria, Ivory Coast, Gabon, Madagascar, Mauritania, France.

Then, development, with various groupware tools (Subversion, Request Tracker, mailing lists, IRC session).

Poor Internet connectivity was a big problem in Madagascar.

The Ivory Coast developers worked during a civil war.

## Technical choices

- ▶ Python programming language : simplicity and readability,
- ▶ DBMS PostgreSQL : triggers, integrity constraints, stored procedures,
- ▶ Cheetah templating system (not only for the Web site but also for making the system multi-policy),
- ▶ Apache, mod\_python and Vampire for the Web site.
- ▶ Docbook and Python's reST for the documentation.

Continuous integration testing automatically, every day

<http://www.martinfowler.com/articles/continuousIntegration.html>.

## The configuration file

Technical and policy choices are there.

Most parameters are static : you cannot change them afterwards.

```
tld=example
idn = false
have_registrars= true
registrar_manages_contacts = true
```

## The database

- ▶ Ambition : to be the unique reference for the TLD (no state in the zone file, for instance),
- ▶ Integrity constraints, as far as SQL goes (triggers and stored procedures),
- ▶ Not really portable (impossible with SQL),
- ▶ Use of Cheetah templates to implement multi-policy.

## The library

Every access to the database (except read-only accesses) goes through the library.

One Python class per type of object : Domains, Contacts, Nameservers. . .

Hooks for the local customization.

## The XML-RPC server

The only external interface is a XML-RPC server (an email interface is planned).

People outside of the registry (registrars, authorized users) can read and write in the database.



## Aynchronous operations

For instance, transfers between registrars.

CODEV-NIC relies on an existing tool : Request Tracker.

That way, we do not have to reinvent the wheel. Request Tracker is easily interfactable, thanks to scrips.

## Deployment

Operational today in Ivory Coast (starting in february 2006).

In Madagascar may be this year.

## Current state

- ▶ Not enough deployments to be too assertive,
- ▶ Installation and deployment still very rough (no tarball, no configure script),
- ▶ Some pieces are missing like IDN support.

But it is free software, you are welcome to help.