

Internet mini-cores

Local communications in the Internet's "spur" regions

Steve Gibbard Packet Clearing House



Current Internet Structure

- Well connected core
- Class well connected spurs
- In the core, connectivity is good:
 - ⑦ Lots of fiber
 - ⑦ Lots of redundancy
 - Cost of cheap bandwidth
 - The ability to send large amounts of data quickly between urban areas of the "developed world" can now be taken for granted.



Current Internet structure (cont.)

In the rest of the world:

- ⑦ Many ISPs in a region are likely to have connectivity to the core, but generally few connect to each other.
- Connections to the core can go a very long way, sometimes via satellite.
- ⑦ Even "local" connectivity uses these connections.
- Constance connectivity is expensive and unreliable.
- In these "spur" regions, the Internet often doesn't work very well.



Packet world tour...

- 1 <10 ms 10 ms <10 ms gw.conference.sanog.org [169.223.0.1] -- Kathmandu
- 2 270 ms 160 ms 100 ms gw-pck-sp.wlink.com.np [202.79.55.9]
- 3 40 ms 50 ms 40 ms gw.wlink.com.np [202.79.40.1]
- 4 231 ms 80 ms 110 ms mahesh.wlink.com.np [202.79.32.60]
- 5 892 ms 1131 ms * 216.236.105.33
- 6 1643 ms 1221 ms 1533 ms 69.88.1.189 -- Honolulu
- 7 3105 ms 1442 ms 1962 ms 216.236.111.25
- 8 1041 ms 912 ms 1432 ms hnl-edge-01.inet.qwest.net [67.129.94.1]
- 9 1222 ms 1322 ms 1131 ms bur-core-01.inet.qwest.net [205.171.13.169] -- Los Angeles
- 10 1062 ms 1031 ms 1022 ms lap-brdr-01.inet.qwest.net [205.171.213.46]
- 11 1432 ms * 2563 ms 203.208.168.185
- 12 1743 ms 1552 ms 921 ms 203.208.168.221
- 13 2784 ms 851 ms 1102 ms 203.208.182.133
- 14 1542 ms 1672 ms 1643 ms 203.208.172.138 Singapore?
- 15 1572 ms 1222 ms 1342 ms 203.208.145.38
- 16 1251 ms 1122 ms 1432 ms 203.208.140.133
- 17 1432 ms 1542 ms * 203.208.140.133
- 18 * * * Request timed out.
- 19 1713 ms 1602 ms * 202.52.242.65 -- Nepal
- 20 1683 ms 1742 ms 1533 ms 202.52.242.65

Trace complete.



Example traceroute with local peering

1 gw.sfo.gibbard.org (216.93.185.185) 0.214 ms 0.161 ms 0.173 ms

2 border-core2-ge6-0.sfo2.servepath.net (69.59.136.17) 0.659 ms 0.218 ms 0.639 ms

3 paix.pch.net (198.32.176.249) 2.941 ms 3.808 ms 2.408 ms

4 host.paix.pch.net (206.220.231.245) 2.521 ms * 2.468 ms



Different from traditional phone networks

Traditional phone networks:

- Ø Big cost advantage to making local calls.
- ⑦ True even as definitions of local have shifted.
- Column Collision Collis
- ⑦ Few people notice when international phone networks break.

⑦ The Internet:

- ⑦ "Distance is dead:" Local and long distance communications cost the same.
- \oslash This is widely touted as a feature, and sometimes is.
- ⑦ Local communication becomes less reliable, more expensive, and slower than long distance communication.



Examples

Costs:

- ⑦ Urban US: Lots of traffic is local. Cost is around \$100 per Mb/s.
- ⑦ Northwest Montana (rural US): Not much local traffic. Transit cost is \$1,000 per Mb/s.
- ⑦ Kathmandu, Nepal:
 - ⑦ International transit: \$5,000 per Mb/s.
 - ⑦ For ISPs that peer, local traffic is \$50 per Mb/s.
- Perth, Western Australia:
 - ⑦ Transit: \$500 per Mb/s
 - ⑦ Local traffic via peering: <\$10 per Mb/s.</p>
- Reliability:
 - Sri Lanka:
 - ⑦ Fiber cut in harbor.
 - Outage of "Internet and international phone service."



Proposed new model

- Nothing wrong with the current core, for the parts of the world it covers.
- The rest of the world shouldn't have to send everything through it.
- A better model would be to have lots of "regional cores."
- Constance circuits should be reserved for long distance traffic.
- ⑦ Data sent between neighbors should not go to other continents.
- If it's going to replace the traditional phone network, local Internet connectivity needs to be as reliable.



How to get there:

Keep local traffic local:

Cocal exchange point.

⊘All ISPs should have access to local peering.

This connectivity does not need to be direct. Buying transit from somebody who peers locally is sometimes sufficient.

Scales well. No hard limit on participants in the market.

Monopoly transit provider

Keeps traffic local – until somebody decides to compete with it.

⑦ Doesn't have much incentive to improve service, or lower costs.



Exchange points aren't enough

Keeping local traffic local doesn't help, if what you need to talk to isn't local. Connectivity at layer 3 doesn't help if vou're cut off from DNS. *OEven with local DNS, Hotmail (or* whatever) may not be local. To be self-sufficient, a region needs its own "critical services."



What is a critical service?

Ø DNS

- Root.
- Icocal ccTLD.
- Any other zones in local use.
- Ise of domains without local DNS should be avoided.
- C E-mail:
 - Icocal ISP's mail server is presumably safe.
 - Iccal Equivalent of Yahoo or Hotmail?
- VOIP
 - ⑦ SIP server.
 - ⊘ VOIP to PSTN gateways.
- Ø What else?
 - Is Google a critical service?
 - What about Windows Update?
 - Something for content providers to think about.



Progress

Exchange points being built in lots of places.
 Local TLD operators are hosting in their own regions.

With a local exchange point, this helps. Without one, it doesn't do much.

⑦ Root servers are becoming more distributed.
⑦ Local content providers are starting to host content locally in some places.



More needs to be done

⑦ Many regions still don't have local exchange points.

Without an exchange, other locally hosted services are of little value.

⑦ Johannesburg and Jakarta are the only developing areas with root DNS servers (according to www.root-servers.org).

Com/.net footprint is still very small, as are many other gTLDs.



Documentation required

Internet users aren't conditioned to think of locations of services.

The local service is faster than the far away service" is easy to understand.

Services for which location doesn't noticeably affect performance are the real reliability "gotchas." ISPs can help with this.



Caveats

This shouldn't be seen as an attack on long-distance communication.
The ability to communicate easily over long distances is a very good thing.
It just shouldn't have to be depended on for local communications.



Thanks!

Contact info:
Steve Gibbard
Packet Clearing House
scg@pch.net
http://www.pch.net